arXiv:1503.06494v1 [math-ph] 22 Mar 2015

REMARK ON CALDERÓN'S PROBLEM FOR THE SYSTEM OF ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

O. YU. IMANUVILOV AND M. YAMAMOTO

Abstract. We consider the Calderón problem in the case of partial Dirichlet-to-Neumann map for the system of elliptic equations in a bounded two dimensional domain. The main result of the manuscript is as follows: If two systems of elliptic operators generate the same partial Dirichlet-to-Neumann map the coefficients can be uniquely determined up to the gauge equivalence.

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with smooth boundary, let $\tilde\Gamma$ be an open set on $\partial\Omega$ and $\Gamma_0 = Int(\partial\Omega\setminus\tilde\Gamma)$. Consider the following boundary value problem:

$$L(x,D)u = \Delta u + 2A\partial_z u + 2B\partial_{\bar z}u + Qu = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\Gamma_0} = 0, \quad u|_{\tilde\Gamma} = f. \tag{1.1}$$

Here $u = (u_1,\dots,u_N)$ be a unknown vector function and A, B, Q be smooth $N\times N$ matrices. Consider the following partial Dirichlet-to-Neumann map:

$$\Lambda_{A,B,Q}f = \partial_{\vec\nu}u, \quad \text{where} \quad L(x,D)u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\Gamma_0} = 0, \quad u|_{\tilde\Gamma} = f,$$

where $\vec\nu$ is the outward unit normal to $\partial\Omega$. This inverse problem is the generalization of so called Calderón's problem (see [1]), which itself is the mathematical realization of *Electrical Impedance Tomography* (EIT). The goal of this paper is to extend the result obtained in [2] for the above problem in three-dimensional convex domain, which states that the coefficients of two systems of elliptic equations which principal part is the Laplace operator and which produce the same Dirichlet-to-Neumann map can be determined up to the gauge equivalence.

We have

Theorem 1.1. *Let $A_j, B_j \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega), Q_j \in C^{4+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ for $j = 1,2$ and some $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and for the operators $L_j(x,D)$ of the form (1.1) with coefficients A_j, B_j, Q_j and adjoint of these operators zero is not an eigenvalue. Suppose that $\Lambda_{A_1,B_1,Q_1} = \Lambda_{A_2,B_2,Q_2}$. Then*

$$A_1 = A_2 \quad \text{and } B_1 = B_2 \quad \text{on } \tilde\Gamma, \tag{1.2}$$

and there exists an invertible matrix $\mathbf{Q} \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ such that

$$\mathbf{Q}|_{\tilde\Gamma} = I, \quad \partial_{\vec\nu}\mathbf{Q}|_{\tilde\Gamma} = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$A_2 = 2\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\partial_{\bar z}\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^{-1}A_1\mathbf{Q} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{1.4}$$

Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, 101 Weber Building, Fort Collins, CO 80523-1874, U.S.A. e-mail: `oleg@math.colostate.edu`. Partially supported by NSF grant DMS 1312900.

Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153, Japan e-mail: myama@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp.

$$B_2 = 2\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\partial_z\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^{-1}B_1\mathbf{Q} \quad in\ \Omega, \tag{1.5}$$

$$Q_2 = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}Q_1\mathbf{Q} + \mathbf{Q}^{-1}\Delta\mathbf{Q} + 2\mathbf{Q}^{-1}A_1\partial_z\mathbf{Q} + 2\mathbf{Q}^{-1}B_1\partial_{\bar z}\mathbf{Q} \quad in\ \Omega. \tag{1.6}$$

The paper organized as follows. In section 3 we construct the complex geometric optics solutions for the boundary value problem (1.1). In section 4 we prove some asymptotic for coefficients of two operators $L_j(x,D)$ of the form (1.1) which generate the same Dirichlet-to-Neumann map. In section 5, from the asymptotic relations obtained in the section 4, it is proved that there exists a gauge transformation $\mathbf{Q}$ which preserves the Dirichlet-to-Neumann map and such that it transforms the coefficient $A_1 \to A_2$. Then for the coefficients operators $\mathbf{Q}^{-1}L_1(x,D)\mathbf{Q}$ and $L_2(x,D)$ we obtain some system of integral-differential equations. Finally in the section 6 we study this integral-differential equation and show that the operators $\mathbf{Q}^{-1}L_1(x,D)\mathbf{Q}$ and $L_2(x,D)$ are the same.

Notations. Let $i=\sqrt{-1}$ and $\overline{z}$ be the complex conjugate of $z\in\mathbb{C}$. We set $\partial_z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_1} - i\partial_{x_2})$, $\partial_{\overline{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_1} + i\partial_{x_2})$ and

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}^{-1}g = -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_\Omega \frac{g(\xi_1,\xi_2)}{\zeta - z}d\xi_1 d\xi_2, \quad \partial_z^{-1}g = -\frac{1}{\pi}\int_\Omega \frac{g(\xi_1,\xi_2)}{\overline{\zeta} - \overline{z}}d\xi_1 d\xi_2.$$

For any holomorphic function Φ we set $\Phi' = \partial_z\Phi$ and $\bar\Phi' = \partial_{\bar z}\bar\Phi$, $\Phi'' = \partial_z^2\Phi$,$\bar\Phi'' = \partial_{\bar z}^2\bar\Phi$. Let $\vec\tau = (\nu_2,-\nu_1)$ be tangential vector to $\partial\Omega$. Let $W_2^{1,\tau}(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space $W_2^1(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|u\|_{W_2^{1,\tau}(\Omega)} = \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\tau|\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. Moreover by $\lim_{\eta\to\infty}\frac{\|f(\eta)\|_X}{\eta} = 0$ and $\|f(\eta)\|_X \le C\eta$ as $\eta\to\infty$ with some $C>0$, we define $f(\eta) = o_X(\eta)$ and $f(\eta) = O_X(\eta)$ as $\eta\to\infty$ for a normed space X with norm $\|\cdot\|_X$, respectively. $\beta = (\beta_1,\beta_2)$, $\beta_i\in\mathbb{N}_+$, $|\beta| = \beta_1+\beta_2$, I is the identity matrix.

2. Construction of the operators P_B and T_B.

Let A, B be an $N\times N$ matrix with elements from $C^{5+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ with $\alpha\in(0,1)$. Consider the boundary value problem:

$$\mathcal{K}(x,D)(U_0,\widetilde{U}_0) = (2\partial_{\overline{z}}U_0 + AU_0, 2\partial_z\widetilde{U}_0 + B\widetilde{U}_0) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad U_0 + \widetilde{U}_0 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \tag{2.1}$$

Without loss of generality we assume that $\tilde\Gamma$ is an ark with endpoints $x_\pm$.

We have

Proposition 2.1. *(see [?]) Let ϵ be a positive number, $A,B\in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ for some $\alpha\in(0,1)$, $\Psi\in C^\infty(\partial\Omega)$ $\vec r_{0,k},\dots,\vec r_{2,k}\in\mathbb{C}^3$ be arbitrary vectors and $x_1,\dots,x_k$ be mutually distinct arbitrary points from the domain Ω. There exists a solution $(U_0,\widetilde{U}_0)\in C^{6+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ to problem (2.1) such that*

$$\partial_z^j U_0(x_\ell) = \vec r_{j,\ell} \quad \forall j\in\{0,\dots,5\}, \quad and \quad \forall \ell\in\{1,\dots,k\}, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\lim_{x\to x_\pm}\frac{|U_0(x)|}{|x-x_\pm|^{98}} = \lim_{x\to x_\pm}\frac{|\widetilde{U}_0(x)|}{|x-x_\pm|^{98}} = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\|U_0 - \Psi\|_{C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Gamma_0)} \le \epsilon. \tag{2.4}$$

We construct the matrix $\mathcal{C}$ and the matrix $\mathcal{P}$ as follows

$$\mathcal{C} = (\tilde{U}_0(1), \dots, \tilde{U}_0(N)), \ \mathcal{P} = (U_0(1), \dots, U_0(N)) \in C^{6+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \tag{2.5}$$

and for any $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$\mathcal{K}(x, D)(U_0(j), \widetilde{U}_0(j)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad U_0(j) + \widetilde{U}_0(j) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \tag{2.6}$$

By Proposition 2.1 for the equation (2.6) we can construct solutions $(U_0(j), \tilde{U}_0(j))$ such that

$$U_0(j)(\hat{x}) = \vec{e}_j, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, N\},$$

where $\vec{e}_j$ is the standard basis in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

By $\mathcal{Z}$ we denote the set of zeros of the function q on $\overline{\Omega} :\ \mathcal{Z} = \{z \in \overline{\Omega};\ q(z) = 0\}$. Obviously $card\, \mathcal{Z} < \infty$. By κ we denote the highest order of zeros of the function q on $\overline{\Omega}$.

Using Proposition 9 of [**?**] we construct solutions $U_0^{(j)}$ to problem (3.9) such that

$$U_0^{(j)}(x) = \vec{e}_j \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, N\} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Set $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}(x) = (U_0^{(1)}(x), \dots, U_0^{(N)}(x)), \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(x) = (\widetilde{U}_0^{(1)}(x), \dots, \widetilde{U}_0^{(N)}(x))$. Then there exists a holomorphic function $\widetilde{q}$ such that $\det \widetilde{\mathcal{P}} = \widetilde{q}(z) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\overline{z}}^{-1} \mathrm{tr}\, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}}$ in Ω. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} = \{z \in \overline{\Omega};\ \widetilde{q}(z) = 0\}$ and $\widetilde{\kappa}$ the highest order of zeros of the function $\widetilde{q}$.

By $\widetilde{U}_0^{(j)}(x) = \vec{e}_j$ for $x \in \mathcal{Z}$, we see that $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}} \cap \mathcal{Z} = \emptyset$. Therefore there exists a holomorphic function $r(z)$ such that $r|_{\mathcal{Z}} = 0$ and $(1 - r)|_{\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}} = 0$ and the orders of zeros of the function r on $\mathcal{Z}$ and the function $1 - r$ on $\widetilde{\mathcal{Z}}$ are greater than or equal to the $\max\{\kappa, \widetilde{\kappa}\}$.

We set

$$P_A f = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P} \partial_{\overline{z}}^{-1} (\mathcal{P}^{-1} r f) + \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{P}} \partial_{\overline{z}}^{-1} (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-1} (1 - r) f). \tag{2.7}$$

Then

$$P_A^* f = -\frac{1}{2} r (\mathcal{P}^{-1})^* \partial_{\overline{z}}^{-1} (\mathcal{P}^* f) - \frac{1}{2} (1 - r) (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^{-1})^* \partial_{\overline{z}}^{-1} (\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}^* f).$$

For any matrix $A \in C^{5+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}), \alpha \in (0, 1)$, the linear operators $P_A, P_A^* \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\Omega), W_2^1(\Omega))$ solve the differential equations

$$(-2\partial_{\overline{z}} + A^*) P_A^* g = g \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (2\partial_{\overline{z}} + A) P_A g = g \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

In a similar way, using matrices $\mathcal{C}, \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}$ we construct the operators

$$T_B f = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{C} \partial_z^{-1} (\mathcal{C}^{-1} \overline{r} f) + \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{C}} \partial_z^{-1} (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{-1} (1 - \overline{r}) f)$$

and

$$T_B^* f = -\frac{1}{2} r(\overline{z}) (\mathcal{C}^{-1})^* \partial_z^{-1} (\mathcal{C}^* f) - \frac{1}{2} (1 - r(\overline{z})) (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^{-1})^* \partial_z^{-1} (\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}^* f). \tag{2.8}$$

For any matrix $B \in C^{5+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}), \alpha \in (0, 1)$, the linear operators T_B and T_B^* solve the differential equation

$$(2\partial_z + B) T_B g = g \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad (-2\partial_z + B^*) T_B^* g = g \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Finally we introduce two operators

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau, B} g = e^{\tau(\overline{\Phi} - \Phi)} T_B (e^{\tau(\Phi - \overline{\Phi})} g) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_{\tau, B} g = e^{\tau(\Phi - \overline{\Phi})} P_B (e^{\tau(\overline{\Phi} - \Phi)} g).$$

3. **Step 1: Construction of complex geometric optics solutions.**

Let $L_1(x, D)$ and $L_2(x, D)$ be the operators of the form (1.1) with the coefficients A_j, B_j, Q_j. In this step, we will construct two complex geometric optics solutions u_1 and v respectively for operators $L_1(x, D)$ and $L_2(x, D)$.

As the phase function for such a solution we consider a holomorphic function $\Phi(z)$ such that $\Phi(z) = \varphi(x_1, x_2) + i\psi(x_1, x_2)$ with real-valued functions φ and ψ. For some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ the function Φ belongs to $C^{6+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. Moreover

$$\partial_{\bar z}\Phi = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \operatorname{Im}\Phi|_{\Gamma_0} = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{H}$ the set of all the critical points of the function Φ: $\mathcal{H} = \{z \in \overline{\Omega};\ \Phi'(z) = 0\}$. Assume that Φ has no critical points on $\overline{\overline{\Gamma}}$, and that all critical points are nondegenerate:

$$\mathcal{H} \cap \partial\Omega = \emptyset, \quad \Phi''(z) \neq 0, \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \operatorname{card}\mathcal{H} < \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $\partial\Omega = \cup_{j=1}^{\mathcal{N}} \gamma_j$. The following proposition was proved in [5].

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\widetilde{x}$ be an arbitrary point in domain Ω. There exists a sequence of functions $\{\Phi_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon\in(0,1)} \in C^6(\bar\Omega)$ satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and there exists a sequence $\{\widetilde{x}_\epsilon\}, \epsilon \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$\widetilde{x}_\epsilon \in \mathcal{H}_\epsilon = \{z \in \overline{\Omega};\ \Phi'_\epsilon(z) = 0\}, \quad \widetilde{x}_\epsilon \to \widetilde{x} \ \ \textit{as } \epsilon \to +0. \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$Im\,\Phi_\epsilon(\widetilde{x}_\epsilon) \notin \{Im\,\Phi_\epsilon(x);\ x \in \mathcal{H}_\epsilon \setminus \{\widetilde{x}_\epsilon\}\} \textit{ and } Im\,\Phi_\epsilon(\widetilde{x}_\epsilon) \neq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Let the function Φ satisfy (3.1), (3.2) and $\widetilde{x}$ be some point from $\mathcal{H}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is an arc with the endpoints $x_\pm$.

Denote $Q_1(1) = -2\partial_z A_1 - B_1 A_1 + Q_1, \quad Q_2(1) = -2\partial_{\bar z} B_1 - A_1 B_1 + Q_1$.

Let $(U_0, \widetilde{U}_0) \in C^{6+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution to the boundary value problem:

$$\mathcal{K}(x, D)(U_0, \widetilde{U}_0) = (2\partial_{\bar z}U_0 + A_1U_0, 2\partial_z\widetilde{U}_0 + B_1\widetilde{U}_0) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad U_0 + \widetilde{U}_0 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \tag{3.5}$$

The complex geometric optics solutions are constructed in [?], [?]. We remind the main steps. Let the pair $(U_0, \widetilde{U}_0)$ be defined in the following way

$$U_0 = \mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \quad \tilde{U}_0 = \mathcal{C}_1\overline{\mathbf{a}}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mathbf{a}(z) = (a_1(z), \dots, a_N(z)) \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ is the holomorphic vector function such that $\operatorname{Im}\mathbf{a}|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$, or

$$U_0 = \mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \quad \tilde{U}_0 = -\mathcal{C}_1\overline{\mathbf{a}}, \tag{3.7}$$

where $\mathbf{a}(z) = (a_1(z), \dots, a_N(z)) \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ is the holomorphic vector function such that $\operatorname{Re}\mathbf{a}|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$,

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = (\tilde{U}_0(1), \dots, \tilde{U}_0(N)),\ \mathcal{P}_1 = (U_0(1), \dots, U_0(N)) \in C^{6+\alpha}(\bar\Omega) \tag{3.8}$$

and for any $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$\mathcal{K}(x, D)(U_0(k), \widetilde{U}_0(k)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad U_0(k) + \widetilde{U}_0(k) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \tag{3.9}$$

In order to make a choice of $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{P}_1$ let us fix a small positive number ϵ. By Proposition 2.1 there exist solutions $(U_0(k), \tilde{U}_0(k))$ to problem (3.5) for $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\|U_0(k) - \vec{e}_k\|_{C^{5+\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}_0)} \le \epsilon \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \tag{3.10}$$

This inequality and the boundary conditions in (3.5) on Γ_0 imply

$$\|\tilde{U}_0(k) - \vec{e}_k\|_{C^{5+\alpha}(\bar{\Gamma}_0)} \le \epsilon \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \tag{3.11}$$

Let e_1, e_2 be smooth functions such that

$$e_1 + e_2 = 1 \quad \text{on } \Omega, \tag{3.12}$$

and e_1 vanishes in a neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ and e_2 vanishes in a neighborhood of the set $\mathcal{H}$.

For any positive ϵ denote $G_\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega;\ dist(\operatorname{supp} e_1, x) > \epsilon\}$. The following proposition proved in [**?**]:

Proposition 3.2. *Let $B, q \in C^{5+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for some positive $\alpha \in (0,1)$, the function Φ satisfy (3.1), (3.2) and $\widetilde{q} \in W^1_p(\overline{\Omega})$ for some $p > 2$. Suppose that $q|_{\mathcal{H}} = \widetilde{q}|_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$. Then the asymptotic formulae hold true:*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau,B}(e_1(q + \frac{\widetilde{q}}{\tau}))|_{\overline{G}_\epsilon} = e^{\tau(\overline{\Phi}-\Phi)} \left(\frac{m_{+,\tilde{x}} e^{2i\tau\psi(\widetilde{x})}}{\tau^2} + o_{C^2(\overline{G}_\epsilon)}(\frac{1}{\tau^2}) \right) \quad as\, |\tau| \to +\infty, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tau,B}(e_1(q + \frac{\widetilde{q}}{\tau}))|_{\overline{G}_\epsilon} = e^{\tau(\Phi-\overline{\Phi})} \left(\frac{m_{-,\tilde{x}} e^{-2i\tau\psi(\widetilde{x})}}{\tau^2} + o_{C^2(\overline{G}_\epsilon)}(\frac{1}{\tau^2}) \right) \quad as\, |\tau| \to +\infty. \tag{3.14}$$

Denote $q_1 = P_{A_1}(Q_1(1)U_0) - M_1, \quad q_2 = T_{B_1}(Q_2(1)\widetilde{U}_0) - M_2 \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, where the functions $M_1 \in Ker(2\partial_{\overline{z}} + A_1)$ and $M_2 \in Ker(2\partial_z + B_1)$ are taken such that

$$q_1(\tilde{x}) = q_2(\tilde{x}) = \partial^\beta_x q_1(x) = \partial^\beta_x q_2(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{\tilde{x}\} \quad \text{and } \forall\, |\beta| \le 5. \tag{3.15}$$

Moreover by (2.3) we can assume that

$$\lim_{x \to x_\pm} \frac{|q_1(x)|}{|x - x_\pm|^{98}} = \lim_{x \to x_\pm} \frac{|q_2(x)|}{|x - x_\pm|^{98}} = 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Next we introduce the functions $(U_{-1}, \widetilde{U}_{-1}) \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}) \times C^{5+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ as a solutions to the following boundary value problem:

$$\mathcal{K}(x, D)(U_{-1}, \widetilde{U}_{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (U_{-1} + \widetilde{U}_{-1})|_{\Gamma_0} = \frac{q_1}{2\Phi'} + \frac{q_2}{2\bar{\Phi}'}. \tag{3.17}$$

We set $p_1 = -Q_2(1)(\frac{e_1 q_1}{2\Phi'} - U_{-1}) + L_1(x, D)(\frac{e_2 q_1}{2\Phi'})$, $p_2 = -Q_1(1)(\frac{e_1 q_2}{2\bar{\Phi}'} - \widetilde{U}_{-1}) + L_1(x, D)(\frac{e_2 q_2}{2\bar{\Phi}'})$, $\widetilde{q}_2 = T_{B_1} p_2 - \widetilde{M}_2, \widetilde{q}_1 = P_{A_1} p_1 - \widetilde{M}_1 \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, where $\widetilde{M}_1 \in Ker(2\partial_{\overline{z}} + A_1)$ and $\widetilde{M}_2 \in Ker(2\partial_z + B_1)$ are taken such that

$$\partial^\beta_x \tilde{q}_1(x) = \partial^\beta_x \tilde{q}_2(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{and } \forall |\beta| \le 5. \tag{3.18}$$

By Proposition 3.2, there exist functions $m_{\pm,\tilde{x}} \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{G}_\epsilon)$ such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau,B_1}(e_1(q_1 + \frac{\widetilde{q}_1}{\tau}))|_{\overline{G}_\epsilon} = e^{\tau(\overline{\Phi}-\Phi)} \left(\frac{m_{+,\tilde{x}} e^{2i\tau\psi(\widetilde{x})}}{\tau^2} + o_{C^2(\overline{G}_\epsilon)}(\frac{1}{\tau^2}) \right) \quad \text{as } |\tau| \to +\infty \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tau,A_1}(e_1(q_2+\frac{\widetilde{q}_2}{\tau}))|_{\overline{G}_\epsilon} = e^{\tau(\Phi-\overline{\Phi})}\left(\frac{m_{-,\tilde{x}}e^{-2i\tau\psi(\widetilde{x})}}{\tau^2}+o_{C^2(\overline{G}_\epsilon)}(\frac{1}{\tau^2})\right) \quad \text{as } |\tau|\rightarrow+\infty. \tag{3.20}$$

For any $\tilde{x}\in\mathcal{H}$ we introduce the functions $a_{\pm,\tilde{x}}, b_{\pm,\tilde{x}}\in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ as solutions to the boundary value problem

$$\mathcal{K}(x,D)(a_{\pm,\tilde{x}},b_{\pm,\tilde{x}})=0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (a_{\pm,\tilde{x}}+b_{\pm,\tilde{x}})|_{\Gamma_0}=m_{\pm,\tilde{x}}. \tag{3.21}$$

We choose the functions $a_{\pm,\tilde{x}}, b_{\pm,\tilde{x}}$ in the form

$$(a_{\pm,\tilde{x}},b_{\pm,\tilde{x}})=(\mathcal{P}_1(x)\mathbf{a}_{\pm,\tilde{x}}(z),\mathcal{C}_1(x)\mathbf{b}_{\pm,\tilde{x}}(\bar{z})), \tag{3.22}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{\pm,\tilde{x}}(z)$ is some holomorphic function and $\mathbf{b}_{\pm,\tilde{x}}(\bar{z})$ is some antiholomorphic function. Let $(U_{-2},\widetilde{U}_{-2})\in C^{5+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})\times C^{5+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ be solution to the boundary value problem

$$\mathcal{K}(x,D)(U_{-2},\widetilde{U}_{-2})=0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (U_{-2}+\widetilde{U}_{-2})|_{\Gamma_0}=\frac{\widetilde{q}_1}{2\Phi'}+\frac{\widetilde{q}_2}{2\bar{\Phi}'}.$$

We introduce the functions $U_{0,\tau},\widetilde{U}_{0,\tau}\in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ by

$$U_{0,\tau}=U_0+\frac{U_{-1}-e_2q_1/2\Phi'}{\tau}+\frac{1}{\tau^2}((e^{2i\tau\psi(\widetilde{x})}a_{+,\tilde{x}}+e^{-2i\tau\psi(\widetilde{x})}a_{-,\tilde{x}})+U_{-2}-\frac{\widetilde{q}_1e_2}{2\Phi'}) \tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\widetilde{U}_{0,\tau}=\widetilde{U}_0+\frac{\widetilde{U}_{-1}-e_2q_2/2\bar{\Phi}'}{\tau}+\frac{1}{\tau^2}((e^{2i\tau\psi(\widetilde{x})}b_{+,\tilde{x}}+e^{-2i\tau\psi(\widetilde{x})}b_{-,\tilde{x}})+\widetilde{U}_{-2}-\frac{\widetilde{q}_2e_2}{2\overline{\Phi}'}). \tag{3.24}$$

We set $\mathcal{O}_\epsilon=\{x\in\Omega;\ dist(x,\partial\Omega)\le\epsilon\}$.

In [?] it is shown that there exists a function u_{-1} in complex geometric optics solution satisfies the estimate

$$\sqrt{|\tau|}\|u_{-1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tau|}}\|\nabla u_{-1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}+\|u_{-1}\|_{W_2^{1,\tau}(\mathcal{O}_\epsilon)}=o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as } \tau\rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.25}$$

and such that the function

$$u_1(x)=U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}+\widetilde{U}_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\overline{\Phi}}-e^{\tau\Phi}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau,B_1}(e_1(q_1+\widetilde{q}_1/\tau))-e^{\tau\overline{\Phi}}\mathcal{R}_{\tau,A_1}(e_1(q_2+\widetilde{q}_2/\tau))+e^{\tau\varphi}u_{-1} \tag{3.26}$$

solves the boundary value problem

$$L_1(x,D)u_1=0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u_1|_{\Gamma_0}=0. \tag{3.27}$$

Similarly, we construct the complex geometric optics solutions to the operator $L_2(x,D)^*$. Let $(V_0,\widetilde{V}_0)\in C^{6+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})\times C^{6+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solutions to the following boundary value problem:

$$\mathcal{M}(x,D)(V_0,\widetilde{V}_0)=((2\partial_z-B_2^*)V_0,(2\partial_{\overline{z}}-A_2^*)\widetilde{V}_0)=0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (V_0+\widetilde{V}_0)|_{\Gamma_0}=0, \tag{3.28}$$

such that

$$\lim_{x\rightarrow x_\pm}\frac{|V_0(x)|}{|x-x_\pm|^{98}}=\lim_{x\rightarrow x_\pm}\frac{|\widetilde{V}_0(x)|}{|x-x_\pm|^{98}}=0. \tag{3.29}$$

Such a pair $(V_0,\widetilde{V}_0)$ exists due to Proposition 2.1. More specifically let

$$V_0=\mathcal{C}_2\overline{\mathbf{b}}, \quad \tilde{V}_0=\mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b}, \tag{3.30}$$

where $\mathbf{b}(z) = (b_1(z), \dots, b_N(z)) \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ is the holomorphic vector function such that $\mathrm{Im}\, \mathbf{b}|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$, or

$$V_0 = \mathcal{C}_2 \overline{\mathbf{b}}, \quad \tilde V_0 = -\mathcal{P}_2 \mathbf{b}, \tag{3.31}$$

where $\mathbf{b}(z) = (b_1(z), \dots, b_N(z)) \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ is the holomorphic vector function such that $\mathrm{Re}\, \mathbf{b}|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$,

$$\mathcal{C}_2 = (V_0(1), \dots, V_0(N)), \quad \mathcal{P}_2 = (\tilde V_0(1), \dots, \tilde V_0(N)), \tag{3.32}$$

and for any $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$\mathcal{M}(x, D)(V_0(k), \widetilde V_0(k)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (V_0(k) + \widetilde V_0(k))|_{\Gamma_0} = 0. \tag{3.33}$$

Moreover, by Proposition 2.1 there exist solutions $(V_0(k), \tilde V_0(k))$ to problem (3.28) for $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\|\tilde V_0(k) - \vec e_k\|_{C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Gamma_0)} \le \epsilon \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \tag{3.34}$$

This inequality and the boundary conditions in (3.28) on Γ_0 imply

$$\|V_0(k) - \vec e_k\|_{C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Gamma_0)} \le \epsilon \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \tag{3.35}$$

In order to fix the choice of the operators $P_{-B_2^*}, T_{-A_2^*}$ we take $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_2$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_2, \widetilde{\mathcal{P}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{P}_2}$. We set $q_3 = P_{-A_2^*}(Q_1(2)\widetilde V_0) - M_3$, $q_4 = T_{-B_2^*}(Q_2(2)V_0) - M_4 \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$, where $Q_1(2) = Q_2^* - 2\partial_{\bar z} B_2^* - B_2^* A_2^*, \quad Q_2(2) = Q_2^* - 2\partial_z A_2^* - A_2^* B_2^*$ and $M_3 \in Ker(2\partial_{\bar z} - A_2^*)$ and $M_4 \in Ker(2\partial_z - B_2^*)$ are chosen such that

$$q_3(\tilde x) = q_4(\tilde x) = \partial_x^\beta q_3(x) = \partial_x^\beta q_4(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{\tilde x\} \quad \text{and } \forall |\beta| \le 5;$$
$$\lim_{x \to x_\pm} \frac{|q_j(x)|}{|x - x_\pm|^{98}} = 0 \quad \forall j \in \{3, 4\}. \tag{3.36}$$

By (3.2) the functions $\frac{q_3}{2\Phi'}, \frac{q_4}{2\bar\Phi'}$ belong to the space $C^{5+\alpha}(\overline{\Gamma}_0)$. Therefore we can introduce the functions $V_{-1}, \widetilde V_{-1} \in C^{5+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ as a solutions to the following boundary value problem:

$$\mathcal{M}(x, D)(V_{-1}, \widetilde V_{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (V_{-1} + \widetilde V_{-1})|_{\Gamma_0} = -\left(\frac{q_3}{2\Phi'} + \frac{q_4}{2\bar\Phi'}\right). \tag{3.37}$$

Let $p_3 = Q_1(2)(\frac{e_1 q_3}{2\Phi'} + \widetilde V_{-1}) + L_2(x, D)^*(\frac{q_3 e_2}{2\Phi'}), p_4 = Q_2(2)(\frac{e_1 q_4}{2\bar\Phi'} + V_{-1}) + L_2(x, D)^*(\frac{q_4 e_2}{2\bar\Phi'})$ and $\widetilde q_4 = (T_{-B_2^*} p_4 - \widetilde M_3), \quad \widetilde q_3 = (P_{-A_2^*} p_3 - \widetilde M_4) \in C^{5+\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, where $\widetilde M_3 \in Ker(2\partial_{\bar z} - B_2^*), \widetilde M_4 \in Ker(2\partial_z - A_2^*)$, and $(\widetilde q_3, \widetilde q_4)$ are chosen such that

$$\partial_x^\beta \widetilde q_3(x) = \partial_x^\beta \widetilde q_4(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text{and } \forall |\beta| \le 5. \tag{3.38}$$

By Proposition 3.2, there exist smooth functions $\widetilde m_{\pm, \tilde x} \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline{G}_\epsilon), \tilde x \in \mathcal{H}$, independent of τ such that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{-\tau, -B_2^*}(e_1(q_3 + \widetilde q_3/\tau))|_{\bar G_\epsilon} = \frac{\widetilde m_{+, \tilde x} e^{2i\tau(\psi - \psi(\tilde x))}}{\tau^2} + e^{2i\tau\psi} o_{C^2(\overline{G_\epsilon})}\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right) \quad \text{as } |\tau| \to +\infty \tag{3.39}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{-\tau, -A_2^*}(e_1(q_4 + \widetilde q_4/\tau))|_{\bar G_\epsilon} = \frac{\widetilde m_{-, \tilde x} e^{-2i\tau(\psi - \psi(\tilde x))}}{\tau^2} + e^{-2i\tau\psi} o_{C^2(\overline{G_\epsilon})}\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right) \quad \text{as } |\tau| \to +\infty. \tag{3.40}$$

Using the functions $\tilde m_{\pm,\tilde x}$ we introduce functions $\widetilde a_{\pm,\tilde x}, \widetilde b_{\pm,\tilde x} \in C^{2+\alpha}(\overline\Omega)$ which solve the boundary value problem

$$\mathcal{M}(x,D)(\widetilde a_{\pm,\tilde x}, \widetilde b_{\pm,\tilde x}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (\widetilde a_{\pm,\tilde x} + \widetilde b_{\pm,\tilde x})|_{\Gamma_0} = \widetilde m_{\pm,\tilde x}. \tag{3.41}$$

We choose $\widetilde a_{\pm,\tilde x}, \widetilde b_{\pm,\tilde x}$ in the form

$$(\widetilde a_{\pm,\tilde x}, \widetilde b_{\pm,\tilde x}) = (\mathcal{C}_2(x)\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\pm,\tilde x}(\bar z), \mathcal{P}_2(x)\widetilde{\mathbf{b}}_{\pm,\tilde x}(z)), \tag{3.42}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{\pm,\tilde x}(\bar z)$ is some antiholomorphic function and $\mathbf{b}_{\pm,\tilde x}(z)$ is some holomorphic function. By (3.2) the functions $\frac{\widetilde q_3}{2\Phi'}, \frac{\widetilde q_4}{2\overline\Phi'}$ belong to the space $C^{5+\alpha}(\overline\Gamma_0)$. Therefore there exists a pair $(V_{-2}, \widetilde V_{-2}) \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega) \times C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ which solves the boundary value problem

$$\mathcal{M}(x,D)(V_{-2}, \widetilde V_{-2}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (V_{-2} + \widetilde V_{-2})|_{\Gamma_0} = -(\frac{\widetilde q_3}{2\Phi'} + \frac{\widetilde q_4}{2\overline\Phi'}). \tag{3.43}$$

We introduce functions $V_{0,\tau}, \widetilde V_{0,\tau} \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ by formulas

$$\tilde V_{0,\tau} = \tilde V_0 + \frac{\tilde V_{-1} + e_2 q_3/2\Phi'}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}(e^{2i\tau\psi(\widetilde x)}\widetilde b_{+,\tilde x} + e^{-2i\tau\psi(\widetilde x)}\widetilde b_{-,\tilde x} + \tilde V_{-2} + \frac{e_2\widetilde q_3}{2\Phi'}) \tag{3.44}$$

and

$$V_{0,\tau} = V_0 + \frac{V_{-1} + e_2 q_4/2\overline\Phi'}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}(e^{2i\tau\psi(\widetilde x)}\widetilde a_{+,\tilde x} + e^{-2i\tau\psi(\widetilde x)}\widetilde a_{-,\tilde x} + V_{-2} + \frac{e_2\widetilde q_4}{2\bar\Phi'}). \tag{3.45}$$

The last term v_{-1} in complex geometric optics solution satisfies the estimate

$$\sqrt{|\tau|}\|v_{-1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\tau|}}\|\nabla v_{-1}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|v_{-1}\|_{W^{1,\tau}_2(\mathcal{O}_\epsilon)} = o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty \tag{3.46}$$

and such that the function

$$v = V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar\Phi} + \widetilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi} - e^{-\tau\Phi}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{-\tau,-B_2^*}(e_1(q_3 + \frac{\widetilde q_3}{\tau})) - e^{-\tau\overline\Phi}\mathcal{R}_{-\tau,-A_2^*}(e_1(q_4 + \frac{\widetilde q_4}{\tau})) + v_{-1}e^{-\tau\varphi} \tag{3.47}$$

solves the boundary value problem

$$L_2(x,D)^* v = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad v|_{\Gamma_0} = 0. \tag{3.48}$$

We close this section with one technical proposition similar to one proved in [6]:

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that the functions $\mathcal{C}_i, \mathcal{P}_i \in C^{6+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ for all $i,j \in \{1,2\}$ some $\alpha \in (0,1)$ given by (3.8)-(3.10), (3.32)-(3.34) satisfy*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \{(\nu_1 + i\nu_2)\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b}) + (\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar\Phi'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})\}d\sigma = 0, \tag{3.49}$$

for all holomorphic vector functions $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ such that $Im\,\mathbf{a}|_{\Gamma_0} = Im\,\mathbf{b}|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$. Then there exist a holomorphic function $\Theta \in W_2^{\frac12}(\Omega)$ and an antiholomorphic function $\widetilde\Theta \in W_2^{\frac12}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\widetilde\Theta|_{\widetilde\Gamma} = \mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1, \quad \Theta|_{\widetilde\Gamma} = \mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1 \tag{3.50}$$

and

$$\Theta = \tilde\Theta \quad \textit{on} \quad \Gamma_0. \tag{3.51}$$

Proof. First we show that for all holomorphic vector functions $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}$ such that $\operatorname{Im}\mathbf{a}|_{\Gamma_0}=\operatorname{Im}\mathbf{b}|_{\Gamma_0}=0$ there exists a holomorphic function $\widetilde{\Psi}$ and antiholomorphic function Ψ such that

$$\bar{\Phi}'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}},\mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})-\Psi=\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a},\mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})-\widetilde{\Psi}=0 \quad \text{on } \tilde{\Gamma} \text{ and } ((\nu_1-i\nu_2)\Psi+(\nu_1+i\nu_2)\widetilde{\Psi})|_{\Gamma_0}=0.$$

Also we observe that the equality (3.49) implies

$$\mathcal{I}=\int_{\partial\Omega}\{(\nu_1+i\nu_2)\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a},\mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})+(\nu_1-i\nu_2)\bar{\Phi}'(\mathcal{C}_1(-\bar{\mathbf{a}}),\mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})\}d\sigma=0, \tag{3.52}$$

for all holomorphic vector functions $\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}$ such that $\operatorname{Re}\mathbf{a}|_{\Gamma_0}=\operatorname{Im}\mathbf{b}|_{\Gamma_0}=0$. Indeed,

$$\mathcal{I}=\frac{1}{i}\int_{\partial\Omega}\{(\nu_1+i\nu_2)\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1 i\mathbf{a},\mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})+(\nu_1-i\nu_2)\bar{\Phi}'(\mathcal{C}_1(-i\bar{\mathbf{a}}),\mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})\}d\sigma=$$
$$\frac{1}{i}\int_{\partial\Omega}\{(\nu_1+i\nu_2)\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1 i\mathbf{a},\mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})+(\nu_1-i\nu_2)\bar{\Phi}'(\mathcal{C}_1(\overline{i\mathbf{a}}),\mathcal{C}_2\overline{\mathbf{b}})\}d\sigma=0.$$

Here, in order to get the last equality we used (3.49). Consider the extremal problem:

$$J(\Psi,\widetilde{\Psi})=\|\bar{\Phi}'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}},\mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})-\Psi\|^2_{L^2(\widetilde{\Gamma})}+\|\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a},\mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})-\widetilde{\Psi}\|^2_{L^2(\widetilde{\Gamma})}\rightarrow \inf, \tag{3.53}$$

$$\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial z}=0 \quad \text{in}\,\Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\widetilde{\Psi}}{\partial\overline{z}}=0 \quad \text{in}\,\Omega, \quad ((\nu_1-i\nu_2)\Psi+(\nu_1+i\nu_2)\widetilde{\Psi})|_{\Gamma_0}=0. \tag{3.54}$$

Denote the unique solution to this extremal problem (3.53), (3.54) by $(\widehat{\Psi},\widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}})$. Applying the Fermat theorem, we obtain

$$\operatorname{Re}(\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a},\mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})-\widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}},\delta)_{L^2(\widetilde{\Gamma})}+\operatorname{Re}(\bar{\Phi}'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}},\mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})-\widehat{\Psi},\widetilde{\delta})_{L^2(\widetilde{\Gamma})}=0 \tag{3.55}$$

for any $\delta,\widetilde{\delta}$ from $W^{\frac{1}{2}}_2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial\delta}{\partial\bar{z}}=0 \quad \text{in}\,\Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\widetilde{\delta}}{\partial z}=0 \quad \text{in}\,\Omega, \quad (\nu_1+i\nu_2)\delta|_{\Gamma_0}=-(\nu_1-i\nu_2)\widetilde{\delta}|_{\Gamma_0} \tag{3.56}$$

and there exist two functions $P,\widetilde{P}\in W^{\frac{1}{2}}_2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial\overline{z}}=0 \quad \text{in }\Omega, \quad \frac{\partial\widetilde{P}}{\partial z}=0 \quad \text{in }\Omega, \tag{3.57}$$

$$(\nu_1+i\nu_2)P=\overline{\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a},\mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})-\widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}}} \quad \text{on}\,\widetilde{\Gamma}, \quad (\nu_1-i\nu_2)\widetilde{P}=\overline{\bar{\Phi}'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}},\mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})-\widehat{\Psi}} \quad \text{on}\,\widetilde{\Gamma} \tag{3.58}$$

and

$$(P-\widetilde{P})|_{\Gamma_0}=0. \tag{3.59}$$

Denote $\Psi_0(z)=\frac{1}{2i}(P(z)-\overline{\widetilde{P}(\overline{z})})$ and $\Phi_0(z)=\frac{1}{2}(P(z)+\overline{\widetilde{P}(\overline{z})})$. Equality (3.59) yields

$$\operatorname{Im}\Psi_0|_{\Gamma_0}=\operatorname{Im}\Phi_0|_{\Gamma_0}=0. \tag{3.60}$$

Hence

$$P=(\Phi_0+i\Psi_0), \quad \overline{\widetilde{P}}=(\Phi_0-i\Psi_0). \tag{3.61}$$

From (3.55), taking $\delta = \widehat{\Psi}$ and $\widetilde{\delta} = \widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}}$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\int_{\tilde\Gamma}((\bar\Phi'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}}) - \widehat{\Psi}, \overline{\widehat{\Psi}}) + (\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b}) - \widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}}, \overline{\widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}}}))d\sigma = 0. \tag{3.62}$$

By (3.57), (3.58) and (3.61), we have

$$H_1 = \operatorname{Re}\int_{\tilde\Gamma}(\overline{\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b}) - \widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}}}, \Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})) + (\overline{\bar\Phi'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}}) - \widehat{\Psi}}, \bar\Phi'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}}))d\sigma$$

$$= \operatorname{Re}\int_{\tilde\Gamma}((\nu_1 + i\nu_2)P, \Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})) + ((\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\widetilde{P}, \bar\Phi'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}}))d\sigma =$$

$$\operatorname{Re}\int_{\tilde\Gamma} 2((\nu_1 + i\nu_2)(\Phi_0 + i\Psi_0)\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})) + 2((\nu_1 - i\nu_2)(\bar\Phi_0 - \overline{i\Psi_0})\bar\Phi'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}}))d\sigma.$$

By (3.49) and (3.60) we have

$$\int_{\tilde\Gamma} 2\operatorname{Re}((\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\overline{\Phi_0\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})}) + 2\operatorname{Re}((\nu_1 + i\nu_2)\overline{\bar\Phi_0\bar\Phi'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})})d\sigma = 0. \tag{3.63}$$

By (3.52) and (3.60) we obtain

$$\int_{\tilde\Gamma} 2\operatorname{Re}((\nu_1 + i\nu_2)(i\Psi_0)\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})) + 2\operatorname{Re}((\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar\Phi'(\mathcal{C}_1\overline{(-i\Psi_0)\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}}))d\sigma = 0. \tag{3.64}$$

Then by (3.63) and (3.64) we see that $H_1 = 0$. Taking into account (3.62), we obtain that $J(\widehat{\Psi}, \widehat{\widetilde{\Psi}}) = 0$. Hence

$$(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})(x) = (\tilde\Psi/\Phi')(z) = \tilde\Xi(z), \quad (\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})(x) = (\Psi/\bar\Phi')(\bar z) = \Xi(\bar z) \quad \text{on } \tilde\Gamma. \tag{3.65}$$

In general the function Φ may have a finite number of zeros in Ω. At these zeros $\Xi, \widetilde{\Xi}$ may have poles. On the other hand observe that $\Xi, \widetilde{\Xi}$ are independent of a particular choice of the function Φ. Making small perturbations of these functions, we can shift the position of the zeros of the function Φ'. Hence there are no poles for $\Xi, \widetilde{\Xi}$. By (3.54) $((\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\Psi + (\nu_1 + i\nu_2)\tilde\Psi)|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$. Moreover, by the direct computations, $(\nu_1 + i\nu_2)\Phi' + (\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar\Phi')|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$. Therefore

$$\tilde\Xi(z) = \Xi(\bar z) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \tag{3.66}$$

Consider N holomorphic vector functions $\mathbf{b}_j = (\mathbf{b}_{1,j}, \dots, \mathbf{b}_{1,N})$ such that $Im\,\mathbf{b}_j|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$ and determinant of the square matrix constructed from these vector functions not equal to zero at least at one point of domain Ω. Then equality (3.65) can be written as

$$(\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}_j) = \tilde\Xi_j(z) \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}}_j) = \Xi_j(\bar z) \quad \text{on } \tilde\Gamma.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\vec{\tilde\Xi} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}} = \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\vec{\Xi} \quad \text{on } \tilde\Gamma.$$

Here B is the matrix such that the row number j equal $\mathbf{b}_j^t$ and $\vec{\tilde\Xi}(z) = (\tilde\Xi_1(z), \dots, \tilde\Xi_N(z)), \vec{\Xi} = (\Xi_1(\bar z), \dots, \Xi_N(\bar z))$. Consider N holomorphic vector functions $\mathbf{a}_i$ such that $Im\,\mathbf{a}_i|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$. Then

$$\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}_i = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\,\vec{\tilde\Xi}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}_i = \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\vec{\Xi}_i \quad \text{on } \tilde\Gamma.$$

From this equality we have

$$\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\Pi\mathbf{A}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1 = \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\tilde{\Pi}\bar{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \quad \text{on } \tilde{\Gamma}.$$

Here $\mathbf{A}, \Pi, \tilde{\Pi}$ are matrix such that the row number i equal $\mathbf{a}_i, \vec{\Xi}_i$ and $\vec{\tilde{\Xi}}_i$. We set

$$\Theta = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\Pi\mathbf{A}^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Theta} = \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\tilde{\Pi}\bar{\mathbf{A}}^{-1}.$$

These formulae defines the functions $\Theta, \tilde{\Theta}$ correctly except the point where determinants of matrix $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are equal to zero. On the other hand it is obvious that functions $\Theta, \tilde{\Theta}$ are independent of the choice of matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$. So if we assume that there exist a point of singularity of, say, the function Θ by Proposition 2.1 we can make a choice matrices $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ such that determinants of these matrices do not equal to zero at this point and arrive to the contradiction. The equality (3.51) follows from (3.66) and the fact that $Im\, \mathbf{B}|_{\Gamma_0} = Im\, \mathbf{A}|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$. Indeed on Γ_0

$$\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1 = \mathbf{B}^{-1}\Pi\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\Pi\bar{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} = \bar{\mathbf{B}}^{-1}\tilde{\Pi}\bar{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} = \mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1.$$

Proof of the proposition is complete. ■

Let u_1 be the complex geometric optics solution given by (3.26) constructed for the operator $L_1(x, D)$. Since the Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps for the operators $L_1(x, D)$ and $L_2(x, D)$ are equal there exists a function u_2 be a solution to the following boundary value problem:

$$L_2(x, D)u_2 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (u_1 - u_2)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_{\vec{\nu}}(u_1 - u_2) = 0 \quad \text{on } \tilde{\Gamma}.$$

Setting $u = u_1 - u_2$ we have

$$L_2(x, D)u + 2\mathcal{A}\partial_z u_1 + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}} u_1 + \mathcal{Q}u_1 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{3.67}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = A_1 - A_2, \mathcal{B} = B_1 - B_2$ and $\mathcal{Q} = Q_1 - Q_2$ and

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_{\vec{\nu}} u|_{\tilde{\Gamma}} = 0. \tag{3.68}$$

Let v be a function given by (3.47). Taking the scalar product of (3.67) with v in $L^2(\Omega)$ and using (3.48) and (3.68), we obtain

$$0 = \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z u_1 + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}} u_1 + \mathcal{Q}u_1, v)dx. \tag{3.69}$$

Denote

$$V = V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar{\Phi}} + \widetilde{V}_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi} - e^{-\tau\Phi}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{-\tau,-B_2^*}(e_1(q_3 + \frac{\widetilde{q}_3}{\tau})) - e^{-\tau\overline{\Phi}}\mathcal{R}_{-\tau,-A_2^*}(e_1(q_4 + \frac{\widetilde{q}_4}{\tau})) \tag{3.70}$$

and

$$U = U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi} + \widetilde{U}_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\overline{\Phi}} - e^{\tau\Phi}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau,B_1}(e_1(q_1 + \widetilde{q}_1/\tau)) - e^{\tau\overline{\Phi}}\mathcal{R}_{\tau,A_1}(e_1(q_2 + \widetilde{q}_2/\tau)). \tag{3.71}$$

We have

Proposition 3.4. *Let u_1 is given by (3.26) and v is given by (3.47). Then the following asymptotic holds true*

$$\int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z u_1 + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}} u_1 + \mathcal{Q}u_1, v)dx = \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z U + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}} U + \mathcal{Q}U, V)dx + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad as \quad \tau \to +\infty,$$

where functions U, V are determined by (3.71) and (3.70).

Proof of Proposition 3.4 is exactly the same as the proof of Proposition 5.1 from [?].

4. **Step 2: Asymptotic**

We introduce the following functionals

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde{x}}u = \frac{\pi}{2|\det \psi''(\tilde{x})|^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\frac{u(\widetilde{x})}{\tau} - \frac{\partial^2_{zz}u(\widetilde{x})}{2\Phi''(\tilde{x})\tau^2} + \frac{\partial^2_{\overline{zz}}u(\widetilde{x})}{2\bar{\Phi}''(\tilde{x})\tau^2} + \frac{\partial_z u(\widetilde{x})\Phi'''(\tilde{x})}{2(\Phi''(\tilde{x}))^2\tau^2} - \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} u(\widetilde{x})\bar{\Phi}'''(\tilde{x})}{2(\bar{\Phi}''(\tilde{x}))^2\tau^2}\right)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{I}_\tau u = \int_{\partial\Omega} u\frac{(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)}{2\tau\Phi'}e^{\tau(\Phi-\overline{\Phi})}d\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)}{\Phi'}\partial_z\left(\frac{u}{2\tau^2\Phi'}\right)e^{\tau(\Phi-\overline{\Phi})}d\sigma.$$

Using these notations and the fact that Φ is the harmonic function we rewrite the classical result of theorem 7.7.5 of [4] as

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\Phi(z)$ satisfies (3.1), (3.2) and $u \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega}), \alpha \in (0,1)$ be some function. Then the following asymptotic formula is true:*

$$\int_\Omega u e^{\tau(\Phi-\overline{\Phi})}dx = \sum_{\tilde{y}\in\mathcal{H}} e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde{y})}\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde{y}}u + \mathfrak{I}_\tau u + o\left(\frac{1}{\tau}\right) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty. \tag{4.1}$$

Denote

$$\mathbf{H}(x,\partial_z,\partial_{\bar{z}}) = 2\mathcal{A}\partial_z + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}} + \mathcal{Q} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}_\tau = \int_\Omega (\mathbf{H}(x,\partial_z,\partial_{\bar{z}})U, V)dx.$$

where U and V are given by (3.71) and (3.70) respectively. We have

Proposition 4.2. *The following asymptotic holds true*

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \sum_{k=-1}^{1}\tau^k J_k + \frac{1}{\tau}((J_+ + I_{+,\Phi} + K_+)(\tilde{x})e^{2\tau i\psi(\tilde{x})} + (J_- + I_{-,\Phi} + K_-)(\tilde{x})e^{-2\tau i\psi(\tilde{x})})\\ + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}}((\nu_1 - i\nu_2)(\mathcal{A}U_0 e^{\tau\Phi}, V_0 e^{-\tau\bar{\Phi}}) + (\nu_1 + i\nu_2)(\mathcal{B}\tilde{U}_0 e^{\tau\bar{\Phi}}, \tilde{V}_0 e^{-\tau\Phi}))d\sigma\\ + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty,\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$J_1 = \int_{\partial\Omega}((\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar{\Phi}'(\tilde{U}_0, V_0) + (\nu_1 + i\nu_2)\Phi'(U_0, \tilde{V}_0))d\sigma, \tag{4.3}$$

$$J_+(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\pi}{2|det\psi''(\tilde{x})|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(-(2\partial_z\mathcal{A}U_0, V_0) - (\mathcal{A}U_0, A_2^*V_0) - (\mathcal{B}A_1U_0, V_0) + (\mathcal{Q}U_0, V_0))(\tilde{x}), \tag{4.4}$$

$$J_-(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\pi}{2|det\psi''(\tilde{x})|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(-(\mathcal{A}B_1\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{V}_0) - (2\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathcal{B}\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{V}_0) - (\mathcal{B}\tilde{U}_0, B_2^*\tilde{V}_0) + (\mathcal{Q}\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{V}_0))(\tilde{x}), \tag{4.5}$$

$$I_{\pm,\Phi}(\tilde{x}) = -\int_{\partial\Omega}\Big\{(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)((2b_{\pm,\tilde{x}}\bar{\Phi}', V_0) + (2\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{a}_{\pm,\tilde{x}}))$$
$$+(\nu_1 + i\nu_2)((2a_{\pm,\tilde{x}}\Phi', \tilde{V}_0) + (2\Phi' U_0, \tilde{b}_{\pm,\tilde{x}}))\Big\}\, d\sigma, \tag{4.6}$$

$$K_+ = \tau\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde{x}}(q_1, T^*_{B_1}(B_1^*\mathcal{A}^*V_0) - \mathcal{A}^*V_0 + 2T^*_{B_1}(\partial_z\mathcal{B}^*V_0) + T^*_{B_1}(\mathcal{B}^*(A_2^*V_0 - 2\tau\bar{\Phi}'V_0)))$$
$$-2\tau\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde{x}}(P^*_{-A_2^*}(\mathcal{A}(\partial_z U_0 + \tau\Phi' U_0) + \mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}}U_{0,\tau}), q_4), \tag{4.7}$$

$$K_- = \tau\mathfrak{F}_{-\tau,\tilde{x}}(q_2, P^*_{A_1}(2\partial_z(\mathcal{A}^*\tilde{V}_0) - \tau\Phi' 2\mathcal{A}^*\tilde{V}_0) - \mathcal{B}^*\tilde{V}_0 + P^*_{A_1}(A_1^*\mathcal{B}^*\tilde{V}_0))$$
$$-2\tau\mathfrak{F}_{-\tau,\tilde{x}}(q_3, T^*_{-B_2^*}(\mathcal{A}\partial_z\tilde{U}_0 + \mathcal{B}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{U}_0 + \tau\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{U}_0))). \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.4

$$\mathcal{J}_\tau = \int_\Omega (\mathbf{H}(x, \partial_z, \partial_{\bar{z}})U, V)dx = o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to +\infty$$

Denote

$$U_1 = -\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau,B_1}(e_1(q_1 + \widetilde{q}_1/\tau)), \quad \tilde{U}_1 = -\mathcal{R}_{\tau,A_1}(e_1(q_2 + \widetilde{q}_2/\tau)), \tag{4.9}$$

$$\tilde{V}_1 = -\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{-\tau,-B_2^*}(e_1(q_3 + \widetilde{q}_3/\tau)), \quad V_1 = -\mathcal{R}_{-\tau,-A_2^*}(e_1(q_4 + \widetilde{q}_4/\tau)). \tag{4.10}$$

Integrating by parts and using Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}) + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}}(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}), V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar{\Phi}})dx =$$
$$\int_\Omega ((-2\partial_z\mathcal{A}U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}, V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar{\Phi}}) - (2\mathcal{A}U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}, \partial_z V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar{\Phi}}) + (2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}}U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}, V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar{\Phi}}))dx$$
$$+\int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)(\mathcal{A}U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}, V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar{\Phi}})d\sigma =$$
$$e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde{x})}\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde{x}}(-(2\partial_z\mathcal{A}U_0, V_0) - (2\mathcal{A}U_0, \partial_z V_0) + (2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}}U_0, V_0))$$
$$+\mathfrak{I}_\tau(-(2\partial_z\mathcal{A}U_{0,\tau}, V_{0,\tau}) - (2\mathcal{A}U_{0,\tau}, \partial_z V_{0,\tau}) + (2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}}U_{0,\tau}, V_{0,\tau}))$$
$$+\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)(\mathcal{A}U_0, V_0)e^{\tau(\Phi-\bar{\Phi})}d\sigma + \kappa_{0,0} + \frac{\kappa_{0,-1}}{\tau} + o(\frac{1}{\tau}), \tag{4.11}$$

where $\kappa_{0,j}$ are some constants independent of τ.

Integrating by parts we obtain that there exist constants $\kappa_{1,j}$ independent of τ such that

$$\int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(\tilde{U}_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar{\Phi}}) + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar{z}}(\tilde{U}_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar{\Phi}}), V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar{\Phi}})dx =$$
$$(2\mathcal{A}\partial_z\tilde{U}_{0,\tau}, V_{0,\tau})_{L^2(\Omega)} + (2\mathcal{B}(\partial_z\tilde{U}_{0,\tau} + \tau\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{U}_{0,\tau}), V_{0,\tau})_{L^2(\Omega)} =$$
$$\tau\kappa_{1,1} + \kappa_{1,0} + \frac{\kappa_{1,-1}}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau}(e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde{x})}(2\mathcal{B}b_{+,\tilde{x}}\bar{\Phi}', V_0)_{L^2(\Omega)} + e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde{x})}(2\mathcal{B}b_{-,\tilde{x}}\bar{\Phi}', V_0)_{L^2(\Omega)})$$
$$+\frac{1}{\tau}(e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde{x})}(2\mathcal{B}\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{a}_{+,\tilde{x}})_{L^2(\Omega)} + e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde{x})}(2\mathcal{B}\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{a}_{-,\tilde{x}})_{L^2(\Omega)}) + o(\frac{1}{\tau}). \tag{4.12}$$

Since by (3.5), (3.21), (3.28), (3.41) for the functions $\tilde{a}_{\pm,\tilde{x}}, b_{\pm,\tilde{x}}$ we have

$$(2\mathcal{B}\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{a}_{\pm,\tilde{x}}) = -4\partial_z(\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{a}_{\pm,\tilde{x}}), \quad \text{and } (2\mathcal{B}b_{\pm,\tilde{x}}\bar{\Phi}', V_0) = -4\partial_z(b_{\pm,\tilde{x}}\bar{\Phi}', V_0) \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

from (4.12) we have

$$\mathcal{M}_2=\int_\Omega(2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(\tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi})+2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(\tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi}),V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar\Phi})dx=$$

$$\tau\kappa_{1,1}+\kappa_{1,0}+\frac{\kappa_{1,-1}}{\tau}+\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(\nu_1-i\nu_2)}{\tau}(e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2\mathcal{B}b_{+,\tilde x}\bar\Phi',V_0)+e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2\mathcal{B}b_{-,\tilde x}\bar\Phi',V_0))d\sigma$$

$$+\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(\nu_1-i\nu_2)}{\tau}(e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2\bar\Phi'\tilde U_0,\tilde a_{+,\tilde x})+e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2\bar\Phi'\tilde U_0,\tilde a_{-,\tilde x}))d\sigma+o(\frac{1}{\tau}).\tag{4.13}$$

Integrating by parts we obtain that there exist constants $\kappa_{2,j}$ independent of τ such that

$$\int_\Omega(2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi})+2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}),\tilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi})dx=$$

$$(2\mathcal{A}(\partial_zU_{0,\tau}+\tau\Phi'U_{0,\tau})+2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}U_{0,\tau},\tilde V_{0,\tau})_{L^2(\Omega)}=$$

$$\tau\kappa_{2,1}+\kappa_{1,0}+\frac{\kappa_{2,-1}}{\tau}+\frac{1}{\tau}(e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2\mathcal{A}a_{+,\tilde x}\Phi',\tilde V_0)_{L^2(\Omega)}+e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2\mathcal{A}a_{-,\tilde x}\Phi',\tilde V_0)_{L^2(\Omega)})$$

$$+\frac{1}{\tau}(e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2\mathcal{A}\Phi'\tilde U_0,\tilde b_{+,\tilde x})_{L^2(\Omega)}+e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2\mathcal{A}\Phi'\tilde U_0,\tilde b_{-,\tilde x})_{L^2(\Omega)})+o(\frac{1}{\tau}).\tag{4.14}$$

Since by (3.5), (3.21), (3.28), (3.41) for the functions $a_{\pm,\tilde x},\tilde b_{\pm,\tilde x}$ we have

$$(2\mathcal{A}a_{\pm,\tilde x}\Phi',\tilde V_0)=-4\partial_{\bar z}(a_{\pm,\tilde x}\Phi',\tilde V_0)\quad\text{and}\quad(2\mathcal{A}\Phi'\tilde U_0,\tilde b_{\pm,\tilde x})=-4\partial_{\bar z}(\Phi'\tilde U_0,\tilde b_{\pm,\tilde x})\quad\text{in }\Omega$$

we obtain from (4.14)

$$\mathcal{M}_3=\int_\Omega(2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi})+2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}),\tilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi})dx=$$

$$\tau\kappa_{2,1}+\kappa_{1,0}+\frac{\kappa_{2,-1}}{\tau}+\int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1+i\nu_2)\frac{1}{\tau}(e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2a_{+,\tilde x}\Phi',\tilde V_0)+e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2a_{-,\tilde x}\Phi',\tilde V_0))d\sigma$$

$$+\int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1+i\nu_2)\frac{1}{\tau}(e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2\Phi'\tilde U_0,\tilde b_{+,\tilde x})+e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}(2\Phi'\tilde U_0,\tilde b_{-,\tilde x}))d\sigma+o(\frac{1}{\tau}).\tag{4.15}$$

Integrating by parts, using (3.5) and Proposition 4.1, we obtain that there exists some constants $\kappa_{3,j}$ independent of τ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_4=\int_\Omega(2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(\tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi})+2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(\tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi}),\tilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi})dx=$$

$$\int_\Omega((2\mathcal{A}\partial_z\tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi},\tilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi})-(2\partial_{\bar z}\mathcal{B}\tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi},\tilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi})-(2\mathcal{B}\tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi},\partial_{\bar z}\tilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi}))dx$$

$$+\int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1+i\nu_2)(\mathcal{B}\tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi},\tilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi})d\sigma=$$

$$e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}\mathfrak{F}_{-\tau,\tilde x}((2\mathcal{A}\partial_z\tilde U_0,\tilde V_0)-(2\partial_{\bar z}\mathcal{B}\tilde U_0,\tilde V_0)-(2\mathcal{B}\tilde U_0,\partial_{\bar z}\tilde V_0))$$

$$+\mathfrak{I}_{-\tau}((2\mathcal{A}\partial_z\tilde U_{0,\tau},\tilde V_{0,\tau})-(2\partial_{\bar z}\mathcal{B}\tilde U_{0,\tau},\tilde V_{0,\tau})-(2\mathcal{B}\tilde U_{0,\tau},\partial_{\bar z}\tilde V_{0,\tau}))$$

$$+\int_{\tilde\Gamma}(\nu_1+i\nu_2)(\mathcal{B}\tilde U_0e^{\tau\bar\Phi},\tilde V_0e^{-\tau\Phi})d\sigma+\kappa_{3,1}+\frac{\kappa_{3,-1}}{\tau}+o(\frac{1}{\tau}).\tag{4.16}$$

Integrating by parts and using Proposition 4.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_5 = \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(U_1e^{\tau\Phi}) + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(U_1e^{\tau\Phi}), V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar\Phi})dx = \\ \int_\Omega (\mathcal{A}(-B_1U_1 - e_1q_1)e^{\tau\Phi} - 2\partial_{\bar z}\mathcal{B}(U_1e^{\tau\Phi}), V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar\Phi})dx + \\ \int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1+i\nu_2)(\mathcal{B}U_1, V_{0,\tau})e^{\tau(\Phi-\bar\Phi)}d\sigma - (2\mathcal{B}U_1, \partial_{\bar z}(V_{0,\tau}e^{\tau(\Phi-\bar\Phi)}))_{L^2(\Omega)} + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) = \\ \int_\Omega (\mathcal{A}(B_1T_{B_1}(e^{\tau(\Phi-\bar\Phi)}e_1q_1) - e_1q_1)e^{\tau(\Phi-\bar\Phi)}, V_{0,\tau}) + 2\partial_z\mathcal{B}(T_{B_1}(e^{\tau(\Phi-\bar\Phi)}e_1q_1)), V_{0,\tau})dx + \\ (\mathcal{B}T_{B_1}(e^{\tau(\Phi-\bar\Phi)}e_1q_1), \partial_{\bar z}V_{0,\tau} - 2\tau\bar\Phi' V_{0,\tau})_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1+i\nu_2)(\mathcal{B}U_1, V_{0,\tau})e^{\tau(\Phi-\bar\Phi)}d\sigma + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) = \\ e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde x}(q_1, T^*_{B_1}(B_1^*\mathcal{A}^*V_0) - \mathcal{A}^*V_0 + 2T^*_{B_1}(\partial_z\mathcal{B}^*V_0) + T^*_{B_1}(\mathcal{B}^*(A_2^*V_0 - 2\tau\bar\Phi'V_0))) \\ + \int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1+i\nu_2)(\mathcal{B}U_1, V_{0,\tau})e^{\tau(\Phi-\bar\Phi)}d\sigma + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \to +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

After integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_6 = \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(U_1e^{\tau\Phi}) + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(U_1e^{\tau\Phi}), \tilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi})dx = \\ \int_\Omega (\mathcal{A}(-B_1U_1 - e_1q_1) - 2\partial_{\bar z}\mathcal{B}U_1, \tilde V_{0,\tau})dx + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) + \\ (2\mathcal{B}U_1, \partial_{\bar z}\tilde V_{0,\tau})_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1+i\nu_2)(\mathcal{B}U_1, \tilde V_{0,\tau})d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.9), (3.19), (3.20) and Proposition 8 of [**?**] we obtain that

$$\mathcal{M}_6 = -\int_\Omega (\mathcal{A}q_1, \tilde V_{0,\tau})dx + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \to +\infty. \tag{4.18}$$

Integrating by parts and using Proposition 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_7 = \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}) + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}), V_1e^{-\tau\bar\Phi})dx = \\ 2\int_\Omega (\mathcal{A}(\partial_zU_{0,\tau} + \tau\Phi'U_{0,\tau})e^{\tau\Phi} + \mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}, V_1e^{-\tau\bar\Phi})dx = \\ -2\int_\Omega (P^*_{-A_2^*}(\mathcal{A}(\partial_zU_0 + \tau\Phi'U_0) + \mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}U_{0,\tau}), e_1q_4e^{\tau(\Phi-\bar\Phi)})dx + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) = \\ -2e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde x}(P^*_{-A_2^*}(\mathcal{A}(\partial_zU_0 + \tau\Phi'U_0) + \mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}U_0), q_4) + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \\ +o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \to +\infty. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Integrating by parts and using Proposition 8 of [?] we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_8 = \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}) + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}), \tilde V_1 e^{-\tau\Phi})dx = \\ \int_\Omega ((-2\partial_z\mathcal{A}U_0 + \mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}U_0, \tilde V_1) - (\mathcal{A}U_{0,\tau}, -B_2^*\tilde V_1 - e_1q_3))dx + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \\ + \int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)(\mathcal{A}U_0, \tilde V_1)d\sigma = -\int_\Omega (\mathcal{A}U_{0,\tau}, q_3)dx + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_9 = \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(\tilde U_1 e^{\tau\bar\Phi}) + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(\tilde U_1 e^{\tau\bar\Phi}), V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar\Phi})dx = \\ \int_\Omega [(\tilde U_1, -\partial_z(2\mathcal{A}^*V_{0,\tau})) + (\mathcal{B}(-A_1\tilde U_1 - e_1q_2), V_{0,\tau})]dx + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \\ + \int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)(\mathcal{A}\tilde U_1, V_0)d\sigma = -\int_\Omega (\mathcal{B}q_2, V_{0,\tau})dx + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Integrating by parts and using Proposition 4.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{10} = \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(\tilde U_1 e^{\tau\bar\Phi}) + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(\tilde U_1 e^{\tau\bar\Phi}), \tilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi})dx = \\ \int_\Omega ((\tilde U_1, -\partial_z(2\mathcal{A}^*\tilde V_{0,\tau}) + \tau\Phi' 2\mathcal{A}^*\tilde V_{0,\tau}) + (\mathcal{B}(-A_1\tilde U_1 - e_1q_2), \tilde V_{0,\tau})e^{\tau(\bar\Phi - \Phi)})dx + \\ + \int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)(\mathcal{A}\tilde U_1, \tilde V_{0,\tau})e^{\tau(\bar\Phi-\Phi)}d\sigma + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) = \\ \int_\Omega (e_1q_2, P_{A_1}^*(2\partial_z(\mathcal{A}^*\tilde V_{0,\tau}) - 2\tau\Phi'\mathcal{A}^*\tilde V_0) - \mathcal{B}^*\tilde V_0 + P_{A_1}^*(A_1^*\mathcal{B}^*\tilde V_0)))e^{\tau(\bar\Phi-\Phi)}dx \\ + \int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)(\mathcal{A}\tilde U_1, \tilde V_0)e^{\tau(\bar\Phi-\Phi)}d\sigma + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) = \\ e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)} + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

By (3.15) and Proposition 4.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{11} = \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z(\tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi}) + 2\mathcal{B}\partial_{\bar z}(\tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi}), \tilde V_1 e^{-\tau\Phi})dx = \\ \int_\Omega (2\mathcal{A}\partial_z\tilde U_{0,\tau} + 2\mathcal{B}(\partial_{\bar z}\tilde U_{0,\tau} + \tau\bar\Phi'\tilde U_{0,\tau}), \tilde V_1)e^{\tau(\bar\Phi-\Phi)}dx = \\ -\int_\Omega (e_1q_3, T^*_{-B_2^*}(2\mathcal{A}\partial_z\tilde U_{0,\tau} + 2\mathcal{B}(\partial_{\bar z}\tilde U_{0,\tau} + \tau\bar\Phi'\tilde U_{0,\tau}))e^{\tau(\bar\Phi-\Phi)}dx + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) = \\ -e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}\mathfrak{F}_{-\tau,\tilde x}(q_3, T^*_{-B_2^*}(2\mathcal{A}\partial_z\tilde U_0 + 2\mathcal{B}(\partial_{\bar z}\tilde U_0 + \tau\bar\Phi'\tilde U_0))) \\ + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \rightarrow +\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

By Proposition 4.1, there exist constants $\kappa_{4,j}$ independent of τ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_{12} = \int_\Omega (\mathcal{Q}(U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\bar\Phi} + \tilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi}), V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\bar\Phi} + \tilde V_{0,\tau}e^{-\tau\Phi})dx = \tag{4.24}$$

$$\kappa_{4,0} + \kappa_{4,-1}/\tau + \frac{\pi}{2\tau}((\mathcal{Q}U_0, V_0)(\tilde x)e^{2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)} + (\mathcal{Q}\tilde U_0, \tilde V_0)(\tilde x)e^{-2i\tau\psi(\tilde x)}) + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \to +\infty.$$

Since $\mathcal{J}_\tau = \sum_{k=1}^{12}\mathcal{M}_k$ the proof of the Proposition 4.2 is complete. ■

We have

Proposition 4.3. *Let all conditions of the proposition 4.1 holds true and*

$$A_1 - A_2 = B_1 - B_2 = 0 \quad on\ \tilde\Gamma. \tag{4.25}$$

For any matrices $\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{P}_j$ satisfying (3.8)-(3.10), (3.32)-(3.34) with sufficiently small ϵ there exists a holomorphic matrix $\Theta \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ such that the matrix $\mathbf{Q} = \mathcal{P}_1\Theta^{-1}\mathcal{P}_2^*$ verifies

$$2\partial_{\bar z}\mathbf{Q} + A_1\mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{Q}A_2 = 0 \quad in\ \Omega\setminus\mathcal{X}, \quad \mathbf{Q}|_{\tilde\Gamma} = I, \quad \partial_{\vec\nu}\mathbf{Q}|_{\tilde\Gamma} = 0, \tag{4.26}$$

where $\mathcal{X} = \{x \in \bar\Omega | det\Theta = 0\}$ and

$$\mathbf{Q} \in C^{6+\alpha}(\Omega\setminus\mathcal{X}), \quad det\mathbf{Q} \ne 0 \quad in\ \bar\Omega\setminus\mathcal{X}. \tag{4.27}$$

Proof. From Proposition 4.2 for any function Φ which satisfies (3.1), (3.2) we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}((\nu_1 + i\nu_2)\Phi'(\tilde U_0, V_0) + (\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar\Phi'(U_0, \tilde V_0))d\sigma = 0. \tag{4.28}$$

Then if $\mathbf{a}(z) = (a_1(z), \dots, a_N(z)), \mathbf{b}(z) = (b_1(z), \dots, b_N(z))$ are the holomorphic functions such that $\operatorname{Im}\mathbf{a}|_{\Gamma_0} = \operatorname{Im}\mathbf{b}|_{\Gamma_0} = 0$ the pairs $(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{C}_1\overline{\mathbf{a}})$ and $(\mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b}, \mathcal{C}_2\overline{\mathbf{b}})$ solve the problems (3.5) and (3.28) respectively. Therefore we can rewrite (4.28) as

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}\{(\nu_1 + i\nu_2)\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b}) + (\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar\Phi'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})\}d\sigma = 0. \tag{4.29}$$

Thanks to (4.29) all assumptions of the Proposition 3.3 holds true. By Proposition 3.3 there exist holomorphic matrix $\Theta(z)$ and antiholomorphic matrix $\tilde\Theta(\bar z)$ with domain $\bar\Omega$ such that

$$\Theta = \mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1 \quad \text{on } \tilde\Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde\Theta = \mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1 \quad \text{on } \tilde\Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta, \tilde\Theta \in L^2(\Omega) \tag{4.30}$$

and

$$\Theta - \tilde\Theta = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \tag{4.31}$$

From (3.10) and (3.35) and the classical regularity theory of systems of elliptic equations (see e.g [9]) we obtain that $\Theta, \widetilde\Theta \in C^{6+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\det\mathcal{P}_2^* \ne 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det\mathcal{P}_1 \ne 0 \quad \text{on } \tilde\Gamma. \tag{4.32}$$

Moreover by (3.10), (3.34)

$$\det\mathcal{P}_2^* \ne 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \det\mathcal{P}_1 \ne 0 \quad \text{on } \overline\Gamma_0.$$

Observe that by (4.30)

$$I = \mathcal{P}_1\Theta^{-1}\mathcal{P}_2^* \quad \text{on } \tilde\Gamma. \tag{4.33}$$

Since by the construction of the matrices $\mathcal{P}_j$

$$2\partial_{\bar z}\mathcal{P}_1 + A_1\mathcal{P}_1 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad 2\partial_{\bar z}\mathcal{P}_2^* - \mathcal{P}_2^* A_2 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

and matrix Θ is holomorphic we have

$$2\partial_{\bar z}(\mathcal{P}_1\Theta^{-1}) + A_1(\mathcal{P}_1\Theta^{-1}) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \mathcal{X}.$$

We compute

$$2\partial_{\bar z}(\mathcal{P}_1\Theta^{-1}\mathcal{P}_2^*) + A_1(\mathcal{P}_1\Theta^{-1}\mathcal{P}_2^*) - (\mathcal{P}_1\Theta^{-1}\mathcal{P}_2^*)A_2 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \mathcal{X}. \tag{4.34}$$

Thus the first equation in (4.26) holds true. By (4.33) the second equation in (4.26).

By (4.25), (4.33) on $\tilde\Gamma$ we have

$$-2\partial_{\bar z}\mathbf{Q} = A_1\mathcal{P}_1\Theta^{-1}\mathcal{P}_2^* - \mathcal{P}_1\Theta^{-1}\mathcal{P}_2^*A_2 = A_1 I - IA_2 = A_1 - A_2 = 0. \tag{4.35}$$

In order to prove the third equation in (4.26) we observe that there exists a matrix $T(x)$ with real-valued entries, $\det T(x) \neq 0$, such that $\nabla = T(x)(\partial_{\vec\nu}, \partial_{\vec\tau})$. Therefore $\partial_{\bar z} = \frac{1}{2}((T_{11} + iT_{21})\partial_{\vec\nu} + (T_{12} + iT_{22})\partial_{\vec\tau})$. By (4.35) on $\tilde\Gamma$ the following equation holds

$$\partial_{\bar z}\mathbf{Q} = \frac{1}{2}((T_{11} + iT_{21})\partial_{\vec\nu}\mathbf{Q} + (T_{12} + iT_{22})\partial_{\vec\tau}\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{1}{2}((T_{11} + iT_{21})\partial_{\vec\nu}\mathbf{Q} + (T_{12} + iT_{22})\partial_{\vec\tau}I) =$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(T_{11} + iT_{21})\partial_{\vec\nu}\mathbf{Q} = 0.$$

The fact that determinant of the matrix T is not equal zero implies that $(T_{11} + iT_{21}) \neq 0$. So from the above equation we have $\partial_{\vec\nu}\mathbf{Q} = 0$.

If $\det \mathbf{Q}(x_0) = 0$ then $\det \mathcal{P}_1(x_0)\det \mathcal{P}_2(x_0) = 0$. Let matrices $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_j}$ be constructed as $\mathcal{P}_j$ but with the different choice of the pairs $(U_0(k), \tilde U_0(k)), (V_0(k), \tilde V_0(k))$ which are solutions to problem (3.5) and problem (3.28) respectively and satisfy (3.10), (3.35). In such a way we obtain another matrices $\mathcal{P}_j, \Theta, \mathbf{Q}$ which satisfies to (4.26) with possibly different set $\mathcal{X}$. We denote such a matrix $\mathcal{P}_j, \Theta, \mathbf{Q}$ as $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j, \hat\Theta, \widehat{\mathbf{Q}}$. By uniqueness of the Cauchy problem for the ∂_z operator

$$\mathbf{Q} = \widehat{\mathbf{Q}} \quad \text{on } \Omega \setminus \mathcal{X} \cup \widehat{\mathcal{X}} \quad \text{where } \widehat{\mathcal{X}} = \{x \in \bar\Omega | \det\widehat\Theta = 0\}.$$

So, $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}(x_0) = 0$. On the other hand one can choose the matrices $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_j}$ such that $\det \widehat{\mathcal{P}_j}(x_0) \neq 0$. Therefore we arrived to the contradiction. Proof of the proposition is complete. ■

Our next goal is to show that the matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ is regular on $\bar\Omega$.

Now we prove that if operators $L_j(x,D)$ generate the same Dirichlet-to-Neumann map then the operators $L_j(x,D)^*$ generate the same Dirichlet-to-Neumann map.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $A_j, B_j, Q_j \in C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ for $j = 1,2$. If $\Lambda_{A_1,B_1,Q_1} = \Lambda_{A_2,B_2,Q_2}$ then $\Lambda_{-A_1^*,-B_1^*,R_1} = \Lambda_{-A_2^*,-B_2^*,R_2}$, where $R_j = -\partial_z A_j^* - \partial_{\bar z} B_j^* + Q_j^*$ for $j \in \{1,2\}$.*

Proof. Let function v_j solves the boundary value problem

$$L_j(x,D)^* v_j = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad v_j|_{\Gamma_0} = 0, \quad v_j|_{\tilde\Gamma} = g$$

and $\tilde u_j$ be solution to the problem

$$L_j(x,D)\tilde u_j = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \tilde u_j|_{\Gamma_0} = 0, \quad \tilde u_j|_{\tilde\Gamma} = f.$$

By our assumption and Fredholm's theorem solution for both problems exists for any $f, g \in C_0^\infty(\tilde\Gamma)$. By the Green's formula

$$(L_j(x,D)^* v_j, \tilde u_j)_{L^2(\Omega)} - (v_j, L_j(x,D)\tilde u_j)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (\partial_{\vec\nu} v_j, \tilde u_j)_{L^2(\tilde\Gamma)} - (v_j, \partial_{\vec\nu}\tilde u_j)_{L^2(\tilde\Gamma)} +$$
$$(A_j(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)g, f)_{L^2(\tilde\Gamma)} + (B_j(\nu_1 + i\nu_2)g, f)_{L^2(\tilde\Gamma)}.$$

Subtracting the above formulae for different j, using (4.25) and taking into account that $\Lambda_{A_1,B_1,Q_1} = \Lambda_{A_2,B_2,Q_2}$ we have

$$(\partial_{\vec\nu} v_1 - \partial_{\vec\nu} v_1, f)_{L^2(\tilde\Gamma)} = 0.$$

Since the function f can be chosen an arbitrary from $C_0^\infty(\tilde\Gamma)$ the proof of the proposition is complete. ■

By Proposition 2.1 there exist solutions $(\mathrm{U}_0(k), \tilde{\mathrm{U}}_0(k))$ to problem

$$(2\partial_{\bar z}\mathrm{U}_0(k) - A_1^*\mathrm{U}_0(k), 2\partial_z\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_0(k) - B_1^*\widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_0(k)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \mathrm{U}_0(k) + \widetilde{\mathrm{U}}_0(k) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \tag{4.36}$$

and solutions $(\mathrm{V}_0(k), \tilde{\mathrm{V}}_0(k))$

$$(2\partial_{\bar z}\mathrm{V}_0(k) + A_2\mathrm{V}_0(k), 2\partial_z\widetilde{\mathrm{V}}_0(k) + B_2\widetilde{\mathrm{V}}_0(k)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \mathrm{V}_0(k) + \widetilde{\mathrm{V}}_0(k) = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0 \tag{4.37}$$

for $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\|\mathrm{U}_0(k) - \vec e_k\|_{C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Gamma_0)} + \|\tilde{\mathrm{V}}_0(k) - \vec e_k\|_{C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Gamma_0)} \le \epsilon \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \tag{4.38}$$

This inequality and the boundary conditions in (4.36) and in (4.37) imply

$$\|\tilde{\mathrm{U}}_0(k) - \vec e_k\|_{C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Gamma_0)} + \|\mathrm{V}_0(k) - \vec e_k\|_{C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Gamma_0)} \le \epsilon \quad \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \tag{4.39}$$

We define matrices $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2$ as

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = (\tilde{\mathrm{U}}_0(1), \dots, \tilde{\mathrm{U}}_0(N)), \ \mathcal{R}_1 = (\mathrm{U}_0(1), \dots, \mathrm{U}_0(N)),$$
$$\mathcal{M}_2 = (\mathrm{V}_0(1), \dots, \mathrm{V}_0(N)), \ \mathcal{R}_2 = (\tilde{\mathrm{V}}_0(1), \dots, \tilde{\mathrm{V}}_0(N)). \tag{4.40}$$

By Proposition 2.3 there exists a holomorphic matrix $\mathcal{Y}$ such that the matrix function $\mathbf{G} = \mathcal{M}_1\mathcal{Y}^{-1}\mathcal{M}_2^*$ solves the partial differential equation

$$2\partial_{\bar z}\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G}A_2^* - A_1^*\mathbf{G} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{x \in \bar\Omega | det\,\mathcal{Y} = 0\}, \quad \mathbf{G}|_{\tilde\Gamma} = I, \partial_{\vec\nu}\mathbf{G}|_{\tilde\Gamma} = 0. \tag{4.41}$$

Observe that the matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{*-1}$ solves the following partial differential equation

$$2\partial_{\bar z}\mathbf{Q}^{*-1} + \mathbf{Q}^{*-1}A_2^* - A_1^*\mathbf{Q}^{*-1} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{x \in \bar\Omega | det\,\mathcal{P}_1(x) det\,\mathcal{P}_2(x) = 0\}, \tag{4.42}$$

$$\mathbf{Q}^{*-1}|_{\tilde\Gamma} = I, \quad \partial_{\vec\nu}\mathbf{Q}^{*-1}|_{\tilde\Gamma} = 0. \tag{4.43}$$

Let matrices $\widehat{\mathcal{P}_j}$ be constructed as $\mathcal{P}_j$ but with the different choice of the pairs $(U_0(k), \tilde U_0(k)), (V_0(k), \tilde V_0(k))$ which are solutions to problem (3.5) and problem (3.28) respectively and satisfy (3.10), (3.35). In such a way we obtain another matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ which satisfies to (4.26) with possibly different set $\mathcal{X}$. We denote such a matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ as $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}$. By uniqueness of the Cauchy problem for the ∂_z operator

$$\mathbf{Q} = \widehat{\mathbf{Q}} \quad \text{on } \Omega \setminus \{x \in \bar\Omega | det\,(\mathcal{P}_1\mathcal{P}_2\hat{\mathcal{P}}_1\hat{\mathcal{P}}_2)(x) = 0\}. \tag{4.44}$$

Let $x_* \in \bar\Omega$ be a point such that $det\,(\mathcal{P}_1\mathcal{P}_2)(x_*) = 0$. We choose the matrices $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j$ such that the determinants of these matrices are not equal to zero in some neighborhood of the point

x_*. Then by (4.44) the matrix $\mathbf{Q}^{*-1}$ could be extended on the neighborhood of x_* as the $C^{5+\alpha}$ matrix. So

$$2\partial_{\bar z}\mathbf{Q}^{*-1} + \mathbf{Q}^{*-1}A_2^* - A_1^*\mathbf{Q}^{*-1} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{4.45}$$

By (4.41) and uniqueness of the Cauchy problem for the ∂_z operator

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{Q}^{*-1} \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{x \in \bar\Omega | det\, \mathcal{Y} = 0\}.$$

Repeating the above argument we obtain that the matrix $\mathbf{G}^{-1}$ can be defined on $\bar\Omega$ as the function from $C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$. Therefore the matrix $\mathbf{Q}$ belongs to the space $C^{5+\alpha}(\bar\Omega)$ and solves the equation (4.16) on Ω. The operator $\tilde L_1(x,D) = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}L_1(x,D)\mathbf{Q}$ has the form

$$\tilde L_1(x,D) = \Delta + 2A_2\partial_z + 2\tilde B_1\partial_{\bar z} + \tilde Q_1,$$

where

$$\tilde B_1 = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(B_1\mathbf{Q} + 2\partial_{\bar z}\mathbf{Q}), \quad \tilde Q_1 = \mathbf{Q}^{-1}(Q_1\mathbf{Q} + \Delta\mathbf{Q} + 2A_1\partial_z\mathbf{Q} + 2B_1\partial_{\bar z}\mathbf{Q}).$$

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps of the operators $L_1(x,D)$ and $\tilde L_1(x,D)$ are the same. Let $\tilde u_1$ be the complex geometric optics solution for the differential operator $\tilde L_1(x,D)$ constructed in the same way as solution for the operator $L_1(x,D)$. (In fact we can set $\tilde u_1 = \mathbf{Q}u_1$ where u_1 be the complex geometric optics solution given by (3.26) constructed for the operator $L_1(x,D)$.) For elements of the complex geometric solution $\tilde u_1$ such as $U_0, \widetilde U_0, U_\tau, \widetilde U_\tau$ we use the same notations as in construction of the function u_1. Since the Dirichlet-to-Neumann maps for the operators $\tilde L_1(x,D)$ and $L_2(x,D)$ are equal there exists a function u_2 be a solution to the following boundary value problem:

$$L_2(x,D)u_2 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (\tilde u_1 - u_2)|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_{\vec\nu}(\tilde u_1 - u_2) = 0 \quad \text{on } \tilde\Gamma.$$

Setting $\tilde u = \tilde u_1 - u_2, \tilde B = \tilde B_1 - B_2, \tilde Q = \tilde Q_1 - Q_2$ we have

$$L_2(x,D)\tilde u + 2\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\partial_{\bar z}\tilde u_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}\tilde u_1 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{4.46}$$

and

$$\tilde u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \partial_{\vec\nu}\tilde u|_{\tilde\Gamma} = 0. \tag{4.47}$$

Let v be a function given by (3.47). Taking the scalar product of (4.46) with v in $L^2(\Omega)$ and using (3.48) and (4.47), we obtain

$$\int_\Omega (2\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\partial_{\bar z}\tilde u_1 + \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}\tilde u_1, v)dx = \int_\Omega (2\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\partial_{\bar z}U + \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}U, V)dx + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) = 0, \tag{4.48}$$

where the function V given by (3.70) and

$$U = U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\Phi} + \widetilde U_{0,\tau}e^{\tau\overline\Phi} - e^{\tau\Phi}\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\tau,\tilde B_1}(e_1(q_1 + \widetilde q_1/\tau)) - e^{\tau\overline\Phi}\mathcal{R}_{\tau,A_2}(e_1(q_2 + \widetilde q_2/\tau)). \tag{4.49}$$

We have

Proposition 4.5. *The following equalities are true*

$$T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0) = T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\bar{\Phi}'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0) = \bar{\Phi}'T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{U}_0) = T^*_{-B_2^*}(\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{U}_0) = 0 \quad \textit{on } \tilde{\Gamma} \tag{4.50}$$

and

$$I_{\pm,\Phi}(\tilde{x}) = 0. \tag{4.51}$$

Proof. Since the matrix $\mathcal{P}_1$ satisfies $2\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathcal{P}_1 + A_2\mathcal{P}_1 = 0$ the matrix $\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1$ is holomorphic in the domain Ω. Indeed,

$$2\partial_{\bar{z}}(\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1) = 2(\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2^*\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathcal{P}_1) = -\mathcal{P}_2^*A_2\mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_2^*A_2\mathcal{P}_1 = 0. \tag{4.52}$$

This implies

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1 + i\nu_2)\Phi'(\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}, \mathcal{P}_2\mathbf{b})d\sigma = 0, \tag{4.53}$$

In order to obtain the last equality we used the fact that $2\partial_{\bar{z}}\mathcal{P}_2^* = A_2^*\mathcal{P}_2^*$. By (4.48) the conclusion of the Proposition 4.2 holds true, if the operator $L_1(x, D)$ is replaced by the operator $\tilde{L}_1(x, D)$.

From this equality and (3.49) we obtain

$$\int_{\partial\Omega}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar{\Phi}'(\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{C}_2\bar{\mathbf{b}})d\sigma = 0, \tag{4.54}$$

By Proposition 4.2 $\mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1 = \tilde{\Theta}(\bar{z})$ on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ where the function $\tilde{\Theta}$ is antiholomorphic on Ω. So

$$\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar{\Phi}'(\mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})d\sigma = \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar{\Phi}'(\tilde{\Theta}\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})d\sigma = -\int_{\Gamma_0}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar{\Phi}'(\tilde{\Theta}\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})d\sigma.$$

We write (4.54) as

$$\int_{\Gamma_0}(\nu_1 - i\nu_2)\bar{\Phi}'((\mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1 - \tilde{\Theta})\bar{\mathbf{a}}, \bar{\mathbf{b}})d\sigma = 0. \tag{4.55}$$

So, by corollary 7.1 of [6] , from (4.55) we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1 = \tilde{\Theta} \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{4.56}$$

We observe that for construction of U_0 instead of the matrix $\mathcal{C}_1$ we can use $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1$. In that case the equality (4.56) has the form:

$$\mathcal{C}_2^*\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1 = \tilde{\Theta}_* \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \tag{4.57}$$

We define $T^*_{\tilde{B}_1}(\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ by formula (2.8). Now let $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ be an arbitrary point and $z = y_1 + iy_2$. Then, thanks to (4.25), for any sequence $\{y_j\} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ such that $y_j \to y$ we have

$$T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\bar{\Phi}'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)(y_j) \to T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\bar{\Phi}'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)(y) \quad \text{as} \quad j \to +\infty. \tag{4.58}$$

Denote $z_j = y_{j,1} + iy_{j,2}$. Indeed, by (2.8) and (4.25) the exist a constant C such that

$$|T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\bar{\Phi}'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)(y_j) - T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\bar{\Phi}'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)(y)| \le C\int_{\Omega}\|\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*(\xi)\|\left|\frac{1}{z_j - \zeta} - \frac{1}{z - \zeta}\right|d\xi. \tag{4.59}$$

Since by (4.25) $\|\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*(\xi)\||_{\tilde\Gamma} = 0$ the sequence $\left\{\|\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*(\xi)\|\left|\frac{1}{z_j-\zeta}-\frac{1}{z-\zeta}\right|\right\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover for any positive δ the above sequence converges to zero in $L^\infty(\Omega\setminus B(y,\delta))$. Thus, from these facts and (4.59) we have (4.58) immediately.

By (4.56) and (4.57) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\bar\Phi'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)(y_j) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{C}_1^{-1}r_{0,1})(y_j)\partial_z^{-1}(\mathcal{C}_1^*\bar\Phi'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)(y_j)\\
&+\frac{1}{2}\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_1^{-1}(1-r_{0,1})(y_j)\partial_z^{-1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}_1}^*\bar\Phi'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)(y_j) =\\
&-\frac{1}{\pi}r_{0,1}(\overline{z}_j)(\mathcal{C}_1^{-1})^*(y_j)\int_\Omega\frac{\bar\Phi'\partial_z(\bar\Phi'\mathcal{C}_1^*\mathcal{C}_2)\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar z_j-\bar\zeta}d\xi\\
&-(1-r_{0,1}(\overline{z}_j))(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_1^{-1})^*(y_j)\frac{1}{\pi}\int_\Omega\frac{\partial_z(\bar\Phi'\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_1^*\mathcal{C}_2)\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar z_j-\bar\zeta}d\xi =\\
&-\frac{1}{4\pi}r_{0,1}(\overline{z}_j)(\mathcal{C}_1^{-1})^*(y_j)\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(\nu_1-i\nu_2)\tilde\Theta^*\bar\Phi'\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar z_j-\bar\zeta}d\sigma\\
&-(1-r_{0,1}(\overline{z}_j))(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_1^{-1})^*(y_j)\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\partial\Omega}\frac{(\nu_1-i\nu_2)\widetilde{\Theta}_*^*\bar\Phi'\bar{\mathbf{b}}}{\bar z_j-\bar\zeta}d\sigma = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.60}
$$

Here, in order to obtain the last equality we used the fact that $z_j\notin\Omega$ and therefore the functions $\frac{1}{\bar z_j-\bar\zeta}$ are antiholomorphic on Ω. From (4.58) and (4.60) $T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\bar\Phi'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)|_{\tilde\Gamma}=0$. The proof of remaining equalities in (4.50) is the same. Next we show that $I_{\pm,\Phi}(\tilde x)=0$. By (3.22), (3.42) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\pm,\Phi}(\tilde x) = \int_{\partial\Omega}\Big\{&(\nu_1-i\nu_2)((2\mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1\mathbf{b}_{\pm,\tilde x}\bar\Phi',\bar{\tilde{\mathbf{b}}})+(2\bar\Phi'\mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1\bar{\mathbf{a}},\widetilde{\mathbf{a}}_{\pm,\tilde x}))\\
&+(\nu_1+i\nu_2)((2\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a}_{\pm,\tilde x}\Phi',\tilde{\mathbf{b}})+(2\Phi'\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1\mathbf{a},\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_{+,\tilde x}))\Big\}\,d\sigma.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.61}
$$

Since by (4.56) the restriction of the function $\mathcal{C}_2^*\mathcal{C}_1$ on $\partial\Omega$ coincides with the restriction of some antiholomorphic in $\bar\Omega$ function and by (4.52) the restriction of the function $\mathcal{P}_2^*\mathcal{P}_1$ on $\partial\Omega$ coincides with the restriction of some holomorphic in $\bar\Omega$ the equality (4.61) implies (4.51). The proof of thee proposition is complete. ■

We use the above proposition to prove the following:

Proposition 4.6. *The following is true:*

$$
\bar\Phi'T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0) = T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\bar\Phi'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0),
\tag{4.62}
$$

$$
\bar\Phi'T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde U_0) = T^*_{-B_2^*}(\bar\Phi'\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde U_0).
\tag{4.63}
$$

Proof. Denote $r=\bar\Phi'T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)-T^*_{\widetilde{B}_1}(\bar\Phi'\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)$. Then this function satisfies

$$
2\partial_{\bar z}r-\widetilde{B}_1^*r=0\quad\text{in }\Omega.
$$

By Proposition 4.5

$$
r|_{\tilde\Gamma}=0.
$$

By uniqueness of the Cauchy problem for the $\partial_{\bar z}$ operator $r \equiv 0$. Proof of (4.63) is the same. ■

We use the Proposition 4.6 to prove the following:

Proposition 4.7. *Under conditions of Proposition 4.2 we have*

$$-(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}A_2U_0,V_0)-(\tilde Q_1(1)U_0,T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0))+(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}U_0,V_0)=0\quad \textit{on } \Omega, \tag{4.64}$$

and

$$(2\partial_{\bar z}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde U_0,\tilde V_0)+(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde U_0,B_2^*\tilde V_0)-(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}\tilde U_0,\tilde V_0)-(Q_1(2)\tilde V_0,T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde U_0))=0\quad \textit{on } \Omega. \tag{4.65}$$

Proof. We remind that Φ satisfies (3.1), (3.2) and

$$\operatorname{Im}\Phi(\widetilde x)\notin\{\operatorname{Im}\Phi(x);\ x\in\mathcal{H}\setminus\{\widetilde x\}\}. \tag{4.66}$$

By Proposition 4.2 equality (4.2) holds true. Thanks to (4.66), (4.25) and Proposition 4.6 we can write it as

$$(J_\pm+K_\pm)(\tilde x)+I_{\pm,\Phi}(\tilde x)=0.$$

This equality and Proposition 4.5 imply

$$(J_\pm+K_\pm)(\tilde x)=0. \tag{4.67}$$

By Propositions 4.1 and 4.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde x}(q_1,T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\tilde B_1^*\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^*V_0)-\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^*V_0+2T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\partial_z\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)+T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*(A_2^*V_0-2\tau\bar\Phi'V_0)))=\\ -2\tau\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde x}(q_1,T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\bar\Phi'V_0))+o(\frac{1}{\tau})=-2\tau\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde x}(q_1,\bar\Phi'T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0))+o(\frac{1}{\tau})=\\ -\frac{\pi}{2|\det\psi''(\tilde x)|^{\frac12}}(2\partial_{\bar z}q_1,T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0))(\tilde x)+o(\frac{1}{\tau})=\\ -\frac{\pi}{2|\det\psi''(\tilde x)|^{\frac12}}(\tilde Q_1(1)U_0,T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0))(\tilde x)+o(\frac{1}{\tau})\end{aligned} \tag{4.68}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}-2\mathfrak{F}_{\tau,\tilde x}(P^*_{-A_2^*}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}(\partial_zU_0+\tau\Phi'U_0))+\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\partial_{\bar z}U_{0,\tau},q_4)=\\ -2\mathfrak{F}_\tau(P^*_{-A_2^*}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\tau\Phi'U_0)),q_4)+o(\frac{1}{\tau})=o(\frac{1}{\tau}).\end{aligned} \tag{4.69}$$

By (4.68) and (4.69)

$$K_+(\tilde x)=-\frac{\pi}{2|\det\psi''(\tilde x)|^{\frac12}}(\tilde Q_1(1)U_0,T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0))(\tilde x)+o(\frac{1}{\tau}). \tag{4.70}$$

In the similar way we compute $K_-(\tilde x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{F}_{-\tau,\tilde x}(q_2,P^*_{A_2}(2\partial_z(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^*\tilde V_0)-\tau\Phi'2\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^*\tilde V_0)-\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde V_0+P^*_{A_2}(A_2^*\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde V_0))=\\ -2\tau\mathfrak{F}_{-\tau,\tilde x}(q_2,P^*_{A_2}(\Phi'\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^*\tilde V_0))+o(\frac{1}{\tau})=o(\frac{1}{\tau})\end{aligned} \tag{4.71}$$

and

$$- 2\mathfrak{F}_{-\tau,\tilde{x}}(q_3, T^*_{-B_2^*}(2\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}\partial_z\tilde{U}_0 + 2\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}(\partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{U}_0 + \tau\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{U}_0))) = \tag{4.72}$$
$$-2\mathfrak{F}_{-\tau,\tilde{x}}(q_3, T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tau\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\bar{\Phi}'\tilde{U}_0)) + o(\frac{1}{\tau}) = \frac{\pi}{2|\det\psi''(\tilde{x})|^{\frac12}}(Q_1(2)\tilde{V}_0, T^*_{-B_2^*}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{U}_0)) + o(\frac{1}{\tau}).$$

By (4.71) and (4.72)

$$K_-(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\pi}{2|\det\psi''(\tilde{x})|^{\frac12}}(Q_1(2)\tilde{V}_0, T^*_{-B_2^*}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{U}_0)) + o(\frac{1}{\tau}). \tag{4.73}$$

Substituting into (4.67) the right hand side of formulae (4.70) and (4.73) we obtain (4.64) and (4.65).

Since by (3.4) for any x from Ω exists a sequence of x_ϵ converging to x we rewrite equations (4.64) and (4.65) as

$$- (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}A_1U_0, V_0) - (\tilde{Q}_1(1)U_0, T^*_{\tilde{B}_1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0)) + (\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}U_0, V_0) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{4.74}$$

and

$$- (2\partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{V}_0) - (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{U}_0, B_2^*\tilde{V}_0) + (\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}\tilde{U}_0, \tilde{V}_0) + (Q_1(2)\tilde{V}_0, T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\tilde{U}_0)) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

The proof of the proposition is complete. ■

5. **Step 3: End of the proof.**

Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a curve, without self-intersections which pass through the point $\hat{x}$ and couple points x_1, x_2 from $\tilde{\Gamma}$ in such a way that the set $\tilde{\gamma} \cap \partial\Omega \setminus \{x_1, x_2\}$ is empty. Denote by Ω_1 a domain bounded by $\tilde{\gamma}$ and part of $\partial\Omega$ located between points x_1 and x_2. Then we set $\Omega_{1,\epsilon} = \{x \in \Omega |\, dist(\Omega_1, x) < \epsilon\}$. By Proposition 2.1 for each point $\hat{x}$ from $\Omega_{1,\epsilon}$ one can construct functions $\tilde{U}_0^{(k)}, \tilde{V}_0^{(\ell)}$ satisfying (3.5), (3.28) such that

$$\tilde{U}_0^{(k)}(\hat{x}) = \vec{e}_k, \quad \tilde{V}_0^{(\ell)}(\hat{x}) = \vec{e}_\ell \quad \forall k, \ell \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Then for each $\hat{x}$ there exists positive $\delta(\hat{x})$ such that the matrices $\{\tilde{U}_{0,i}^{(j)}\}$ and $\{\tilde{V}_{0,i}^{(j)}\}$ are invertible for any $x \in \overline{B(\hat{x}, \delta(\hat{x}))}$. From the covering of $\bar{\Omega}_{1,\epsilon}$ by such a balls we take the finite subcovering $\bar{\Omega}_{1,\epsilon} \subset \cup_{k=1}^{\tilde{N}} B(x_k, \delta(x_k))$. Then from (4.74) we have the differential inequality

$$|\partial_{\bar{z}}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{ij}| \le C_\epsilon(\sum_{k=1}^{N} |T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde{U}_0^{(k)})| + |\tilde{\mathcal{B}}| + |\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}|) \quad \text{in } \Omega_{1,\epsilon}, \quad \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \tag{5.1}$$

Let $\phi_0 \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ be a function such that

$$\nabla\phi_0(x) \ne 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_1, \quad \partial_{\tilde{\nu}}\phi_0|_{\tilde{\gamma}} \le \alpha' < 0, \quad \phi_0|_{\tilde{\gamma}} = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\tilde{\nu}$ is the outward normal vector to $\Omega_{1,\epsilon}$ and χ_ϵ be a function such that

$$\chi_\epsilon \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}), \quad \chi_\epsilon = 1 \qquad \text{in } \Omega_1,$$

and $\chi_\epsilon\equiv 0$ in some neighborhood of the curve $\partial\Omega_{1,\epsilon}\setminus\tilde\Gamma$. From (5.1), (4.50) we have

$$|\partial_{\bar z}(\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{ij})|\le C_\epsilon(\sum_{k=1}^N|\chi_\epsilon T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde U_0^{(k)})|+|\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}| \tag{5.3}$$

$$+|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_{\bar z}]\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{ij}|+|\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}|)\quad\text{in }\Omega_{1,\epsilon},\quad\forall i,j\in\{1,\dots,N\},$$

$$\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|_{\partial\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}=\partial_{\tilde\nu}(\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}})|_{\partial\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}=0. \tag{5.4}$$

Set $\psi_0=e^{\lambda\phi_0}$ with positive λ sufficiently large. Applying the Carleman estimate to the above inequality we have

$$\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}e^{2\tau\psi_0}(\frac{1}{\tau}|\nabla\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2+\tau|\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2)dx\le C\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}(\sum_{k=1}^N|\chi_\epsilon T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde U_0^{(k)})|^2$$

$$+\chi_\epsilon^2(|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2+|\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}|^2)+|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_{\bar z}]\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2)e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx\quad\forall\tau\ge\tau_0. \tag{5.5}$$

By the Carleman estimate for the operator ∂_z and (4.50) there exist C and τ_0 independent of τ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}|\chi_\epsilon T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde U_0^{(k)})|^2e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx\le C\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}(|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_z]T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde U_0^{(k)})|^2+|\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde U_0^{(k)}|^2)e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}|\chi_\epsilon T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0^{(k)})|^2e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx\le C\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}(|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_z]T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0^{(k)})|^2+|\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V_0^{(k)}|^2)e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx \tag{5.7}$$

for all $\tau\ge\tau_0$.

Combining estimates (5.5), (5.6) we obtain that there exist a constant C independent of τ such that

$$\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}e^{2\tau\psi_0}(\frac{1}{\tau}|\nabla(\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}})|^2+\tau|\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2)dx \tag{5.8}$$

$$\le C\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}(\chi_\epsilon^2(|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2+|\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}|^2)+\sum_{k=1}^N|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_z]T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde U_0^{(k)})|^2+|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_{\bar z}]\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2)e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx\quad\forall\tau\ge\tau_0.$$

For all sufficiently large τ the term $\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}|\chi_\epsilon\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx$ absorbed by the integral on the left hand side. Moreover, thanks to the choice of the function χ_ϵ, we have supports of coefficients for the operator $[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_{\bar z}]$ are located in the domain $\Omega_{1,\epsilon}\setminus\Omega_{1,\frac{\epsilon}{2}}$. Hence one can write the estimate (5.8) as

$$\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}e^{2\tau\psi_0}(\frac{1}{\tau}|\nabla(\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}})|^2+\tau|\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2)dx\le C\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}}\chi_\epsilon^2|\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}|^2e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx \tag{5.9}$$

$$+C\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}\setminus\Omega_{1,\frac{\epsilon}{2}}}(\sum_{k=1}^N|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_z]T^*_{-B_2^*}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde U_0^{(k)})|^2+|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_{\bar z}]\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2)e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx\quad\forall\tau\ge\tau_1.$$

By Proposition 2.1 for each point $\hat x$ from Ω one can construct such a function $U_0^{(k)},V_0^{(\ell)}$ satisfying (3.5), (3.28) such that

$$U_0^{(k)}(\hat x)=\vec e_k,\quad V_0^{(\ell)}(\hat x)=\vec e_\ell\quad\forall k,\ell\in\{1,\dots,\mathbf{N}\}.$$

Then for each $\hat x\in \bar\Omega_{1,\epsilon}$ there exists positive $\delta(\hat x)$ such that the matrices $\{U^{(j)}_{0,i}\}$ and $\{V^{(j)}_{0,i}\}$ are invertible for any $x\in \overline{B(\hat x,\delta(\hat x))}$. From the covering of $\Omega_{1,\epsilon}$ by such a balls we take the finite subcovering $\bar \Omega\subset \cup_{k=\tilde N}^{\tilde N+N^*} B(x_k,\delta(x_k))$. Then there exists C_ϵ such that

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}|\le C_\epsilon(|\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|+\sum_{k=\tilde N+1}^{\tilde N+N^*}|T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V^{(k)}_0)|)\quad\text{in } \Omega_{1,\epsilon}. \tag{5.10}$$

Combining (5.7), (5.9) and (5.10) we obtain that there exists a constant C_5 independent of τ

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}} e^{2\tau\psi_0}(\frac{1}{\tau}|\nabla(\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}})|^2+\tau|\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2)dx\le C_5\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}\setminus\Omega_{1,\frac{\epsilon}{2}}}(\sum_{k=1}^N|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_z]T^*_{-B^*_2}(\mathcal{B}^*\tilde U^{(k)}_0)|^2\\ +\sum_{k=\tilde N+1}^{\tilde N+N^*}|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_z]T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V^{(k)}_0)|+|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_{\bar z}]\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2)e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx\quad\forall\tau\ge\tau_1.\end{aligned}\tag{5.11}$$

By (5.2) for all sufficiently small positive ϵ there exists a positive constant $\alpha<1$ such that

$$\psi_0(x)<\alpha\quad\text{on}\quad \Omega_{1,\epsilon}\setminus\Omega_{1,\frac{\epsilon}{2}}. \tag{5.12}$$

Since $\hat x\in \operatorname{supp}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}\cap\tilde\gamma$ and thanks to the fact $\partial_{\tilde\nu}\phi_0\vert_{\tilde\gamma}\le\alpha'<0$ there exists $\kappa>0$ such that

$$\kappa e^\tau\le\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}} e^{2\tau\psi_0}|\chi_\epsilon\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx\quad\forall\tau\ge\tau_1. \tag{5.13}$$

By (5.12) we can estimate the right hand side of the inequality (5.9) as

$$\begin{aligned}C_5\int_{\Omega_{1,\epsilon}\setminus\Omega_{1,\frac{\epsilon}{2}}}(\sum_{k=1}^N|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_z]T^*_{-B^*_2}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*\tilde U^{(k)}_0)|^2+\sum_{k=\tilde N+1}^{\tilde N+N^*}|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_z]T^*_{\tilde B_1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}^*V^{(k)}_0)|\\ +|[\chi_\epsilon,\partial_{\bar z}]\tilde{\mathcal{B}}|^2)e^{2\tau\psi_0}dx\le C_6e^{\alpha\tau}\quad\forall\tau\ge\tau_1,\end{aligned}\tag{5.14}$$

where C_5,C_6 are positive constants independent of τ. Using (5.13) and (5.14) in (5.9) we obtain

$$\kappa e^\tau\le C_7e^{\alpha\tau}\quad\forall\tau\ge\tau_1.$$

Since $\alpha<1$ we arrived to the contradiction. Hence

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}=\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}=0\quad\text{on }\Omega\setminus\mathcal{X}_{\epsilon_0}.$$

The proof of theorem is complete. ■

References

[1] A. P. Calderón, *On an inverse boundary value problem,* in *Seminar on Numerical Analysis and its Applications to Continuum Physics*, 65–73, Soc. Brasil. Mat., Río de Janeiro, 1980.

[2] G. Eskin, *Global uniqueness in the inverse scattering problem for the Schrödinger equation with magnetic potential with fixed energy,* Commun. Math. Phys., **173**, 199-224.

[3] G. Eskin and J. Ralston, *Inverse boundary value problems for systems of partial differential equations,* In *Recent Development in theories and Numerics,* Editors Y-C Hon, M. Yamamoto, J. Cheng, J-Y. Lee, World Scientific Publishing Co. 105-113,

[4] L. Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators I,* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

[5] O. Imanuvilov, G. Uhlmann and M. Yamamoto, *The Calderón problem with partial data in two dimensions*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., **23** (2010), 655-691.

[6] O. Imanuvilov, G. Uhlmann and M. Yamamoto, *Partial Cauchy data for general second order elliptic operators in two dimensions*, Publ. Research Institute Math. Sci., **48**, (2012), 971-1055.

[7] O. Imanuvilov and M. Yamamoto, *Uniqueness for inverse boundary value problems by Dirichlet-to-Neumann map on subboundarires,* Milan J. Math., **81** (2013), 187-258.

[8] I. Vekua, *Generalized Analytic Functions*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1962.

[9] W. Wendland, *Elliptic Systems in the Plane*, Pittman, London, 1979.